arXiv:0811.2627v1 [math.CT] 17 Nov 2008

COHOMOLOGY THEORY IN 2-CATEGORIES

HIROYUKI NAKAOKA

Abstract. Recently, symmetric categorical groups are used for the study of the Brauer groups of symmetric monoidal categories. As a part of these efforts, some algebraic structures of the 2-category of symmetric categorical groups SCG are being investigated. In this paper, we consider a 2-categorical analogue of an abelian category, in such a way that it contains SCG as an example. As a main theorem, we construct a long cohomology 2-exact sequence from any extension of complexes in such a 2-category. Our axiomatic and self-dual definition will enable us to simplify the proofs, by analogy with abelian categories.

1. Introduction

In 1970s, B. Pareigis started his study on the Brauer groups of symmetric monoidal categories in [6]. Around 2000, the notion of symmetric categorical groups are introduced to this study by E. M. Vitale in [9] (see also [8]). By definition, a symmetric categorical group is a categorification of an abelian group, and in this sense the 2-category of symmetric categorical groups SCG can be regarded as a 2-dimensional analogue of the category Ab of abelian groups. As such, SCG and its variants (e.g. 2-category $\mathbb{G}$-SMod of symmetric categorical groups with $\mathbb{G}$-action where $\mathbb{G}$ is a fixed categorical group) admit a 2-dimensional analogue of the homological algebra in Ab.

For example, E. M. Vitale constructed for any monoidal functor $F : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ between symmetric monoidal categories $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, a 2-exact sequence of Picard and Brauer categorical groups

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{D}) \to \overline{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C}).$$

By taking π_0 and π_1, we can induce the well-known Picard-Brauer and Unit-Picard exact sequences of abelian groups respectively. In [7], A. del Río, J. Martínez-Moreno and E. M. Vitale defined a more subtle notion of the relative 2-exactness, and succeeded in constructing a cohomology long 2-exact sequence from any short relatively 2-exact sequence of complexes in SCG. In this paper, we consider a 2-categorical analogue of an abelian category, in

---

The author wishes to thank Professor Toshiyuki Katsura for his encouragement.

The author is supported by JSPS.

This paper was submitted to *Theory and Applications of Categories* in 25 November 2007.

such a way that it contains SCG as an example, so as to treat SCG and their variants in a more abstract, unified way.

In section 2, we review general definitions in a 2-category and properties of SCG, with simple comments. In section 3, we define the notion of a relatively exact 2-category as a generalization of SCG, also as a 2-dimensional analogue of an abelian category. We try to make the homological algebra in SCG ([7]) work well in this general 2-category. It will be worthy to note that our definition of a relatively exact 2-category is self-dual.

	category	2-category
general theory	abelian category	relatively exact 2-category
example	Ab	SCG

In section 4, we show the existence of *proper factorization systems* in any relatively exact 2-category, which will make several diagram lemmas more easy to handle. In any abelian category, any morphism f can be written in the form $f = e \circ m$ (uniquely up to an isomorphism), where e is epimorphic and m is monomorphic. As a 2-dimensional analogue, we show that any 1-cell f in a relatively exact 2-category $\mathbf{S}$ admits the following two ways of factorization:

(1) $i \circ m \Longrightarrow f$ where i is fully cofaithful and m is faithful.

(2) $e \circ j \Longrightarrow f$ where e is cofaithful and j is fully faithful.

(In the case of SCG, see [3].) In section 5, complexes in $\mathbf{S}$ and the relative 2-exactness are defined, generalizing those in SCG ([7]). Since we start from the self-dual definition, we can make good use of duality in the proofs. In section 6, as a main theorem, we construct a long cohomology 2-exact sequence from any short relatively 2-exact sequence (i.e. an extension) of complexes. Our proof is purely diagrammatic, and is an analogy of that for an abelian category. In section 5 and 6, several 2-dimensional diagram lemmas are shown. Most of them have 1-dimensional analogues in an abelian category, so we only have to be careful about the compatibility of 2-cells.

Since SCG is an example of a relatively exact 2-category, we expect some other 2-categories constructed from SCG will be a relatively exact 2-category. For example, $\mathbb{G}$-SMod, SCG × SCG and the 2-category of bifunctors from SCG are candidates. We will examine such examples in forthcoming papers.

2. Preliminaries

Definitions in a 2-category.

Notation 2.1. *Throughout this paper,* $\mathbf{S}$ *denotes a 2-category* (*in the strict sense*). *We use the following notation.*

$\mathbf{S}^0$, $\mathbf{S}^1$, $\mathbf{S}^2$: *class of 0-cells, 1-cells, and 2-cells in* $\mathbf{S}$*, respectively.*

$\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$: *1-cells from* A *to* B*, where* $A, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$.

$\mathbf{S}^2(f,g)$: *2-cells from* f *to* g*, where* $f, g \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$ *for certain* $A, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$.

$\mathbf{S}(A,B)$: Hom-*category between* A *and* B (*i.e.* $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{S}(A,B)) = \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, $\mathbf{S}(A,B)(f,g) = \mathbf{S}^2(f,g)$).

In diagrams, $\longrightarrow$ *represents a 1-cell,* $\Longrightarrow$ *represents a 2-cell,* $\circ$ *represents a horizontal composition, and* $\cdot$ *represents a vertical composition. We use capital letters* $A, B, \ldots$ *for 0-cells, small letters* $f, g, \ldots$ *for 1-cells, and Greek symbols* $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ *for 2-cells.*

For example, one of the conditions in the definition of a 2-category can be written as follows (see for example [4]):

Remark 2.2. For any diagram in $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, bend left, "f_1"{name=U1}] \arrow[r, bend right, "f_2"'{name=D1}] & B \arrow[r, bend left, "g_1"{name=U2}] \arrow[r, bend right, "g_2"'{name=D2}] & C \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U1, to=D1, "\alpha"'] \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U2, to=D2, "\beta"']
\end{tikzcd},$$

we have

$$(f_1 \circ \beta) \cdot (\alpha \circ g_2) = (\alpha \circ g_1) \cdot (f_2 \circ \beta). \tag{2.1}$$

(Note: composition is always written diagrammatically.)

This equality is frequently used in later arguments.

Products, pullbacks, difference kernels and their duals are defined by the universality.

Definition 2.3. For any A_1 and $A_2 \in \mathbf{S}^0$, their product $(A_1 \times A_2, p_1, p_2)$ is defined as follows:
(a) $A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $p_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_1 \times A_2, A_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$.
(b1) (existence of a factorization)

For any $X \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $q_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, there exist $q \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_1 \times A_2)$ and $\xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(q \circ p_i, q_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& X \arrow[ld, "q_1"'{name=Q1}] \arrow[d, "q"{name=Q}] \arrow[rd, "q_2"{name=Q2}] & \\
A_1 & A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[l, "p_1"] \arrow[r, "p_2"'] & A_2
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=Q, to=Q1, "\xi_1"] \arrow[Rightarrow, from=Q, to=Q2, "\xi_2"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b2) (uniqueness of the factorization)

For any factorizations (q, ξ_1, ξ_2) and (q', ξ'_1, ξ'_2) which satisfy (b1), there exists a unique 2-cell $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2(q, q')$ such that $(\eta \circ p_i) \cdot \xi'_i = \xi_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
q \circ p_i \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\eta \circ p_i"] \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\xi_i"'] & {} \arrow[d, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & q' \circ p_i \arrow[ld, Rightarrow, "\xi'_i"] \\
& q_i &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The coproduct of $A_1$and A_2 is defined dually.

Definition 2.4. For any $A_1, A_2, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $f_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_i, B)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, the pullback $(A_1 \times_B A_2, f'_1, f'_2, \xi)$ of f_1 and f_2 is defined as follows:
(a) $A_1 \times_B A_2 \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $f'_1 \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_1 \times_B A_2, A_2)$, $f'_2 \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_1 \times_B A_2, A_1)$, $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(f'_1 \circ f_2, f'_2 \circ f_1)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A_2 \arrow[rd, "f_2"] & \\
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[ru, "f'_1"] \arrow[rd, "f'_2"'] & \Downarrow \xi & B \\
& A_1 \arrow[ru, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b1) (existence of a factorization)

For any $X \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $g_1 \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_2)$, $g_2 \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_1)$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2(g_1 \circ f_2, g_2 \circ f_1)$, there exist $g \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_1 \times A_2), \xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(g \circ f'_i, g_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ such that $(\xi_1 \circ f_2) \cdot \eta = (g \circ \xi) \cdot (\xi_2 \circ f_1)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & A_2 \arrow[rd, "f_2"] & \\
X \arrow[rru, bend left, "g_1"] \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[rrd, bend right, "g_2"'] & A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[ru, "f'_1"] \arrow[rd, "f'_2"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \xi_1"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \xi_2"] & \Downarrow \xi & B \\
& & A_1 \arrow[ru, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
g \circ f'_1 \circ f_2 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\xi_1 \circ f_2"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "g \circ \xi"'] \arrow[rd, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & g_1 \circ f_2 \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta"] \\
g \circ f'_2 \circ f_1 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\xi_2 \circ f_1"'] & g_2 \circ f_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b2) (uniqueness of the factorization)

For any factorizations (g, ξ_1, ξ_2) and (g', ξ'_1, ξ'_2) which satisfy (b1), there exists a unique 2-cell $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^2(g, g')$ such that $(\zeta \circ f'_i) \cdot \xi'_i = \xi_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

The pushout of $f_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is defined dually.

Definition 2.5. For any $A, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $f, g \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$, the difference kernel

$$(\mathrm{DK}(f, g), d_{(f,g)}, \varphi_{(f,g)})$$

of f and g is defined as follows:
(a) $\mathrm{DK}(f, g) \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $d_{(f,g)} \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{DK}(f, g), A)$, $\varphi_{(f,g)} \in \mathbf{S}^2(d_{(f,g)} \circ f, d_{(f,g)} \circ g)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DK}(f,g) \arrow[r, "d_{(f,g)}"'] & A \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "g"'] & B
\end{tikzcd}
,\quad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{DK}(f,g) \arrow[r, bend left, "d_{(f,g)} \circ f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "d_{(f,g)} \circ g"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\varphi_{(f,g)} \Downarrow"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b1) (existence of a factorization)

For any $X \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $d \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A)$, $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}^2(d \circ f, d \circ g)$, there exist $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, \mathrm{DK}(f, g)), \underline{\varphi} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d} \circ d_{(f,g)}, d)$ such that $(\underline{d} \circ \varphi_{(f,g)}) \cdot (\underline{\varphi} \circ g) = (\underline{\varphi} \circ f) \cdot \varphi$.
(b2) (uniqueness of the factorization)

For any factorizations $(\underline{d}, \underline{\varphi})$ and $(\underline{d'}, \underline{\varphi'})$ which satisfy (b1), there exists a unique 2-cell $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d}, \underline{d'})$ such that $(\eta \circ d_{(f,g)}) \cdot \underline{\varphi'} = \underline{\varphi}$.

The difference cokernel of f and g is defined dually.

The following definition is from [2].

Definition 2.6. Let $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$.
(1) f is said to be faithful if $f^\flat := - \circ f : \mathbf{S}^1(C, A) \to \mathbf{S}^1(C, B)$ is faithful for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$.
(2) f is said to be fully faithful if $f^\flat$ is fully faithful for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$.
(3) f is said to be cofaithful if $f^\sharp := f \circ - : \mathbf{S}^1(B, C) \to \mathbf{S}^1(A, C)$ is faithful for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$.
(4) f is said to be fully cofaithful if $f^\sharp$ is fully faithful for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$.

Properties of SCG.

By definition, a symmetric categorical group is a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathbb{G}, \otimes, 0)$, in which each arrow is an isomorphism and each object has an inverse up to an equivalence with respect to the tensor $\otimes$. More precisely;

Definition 2.7. A symmetric categorical group $(\mathbb{G}, \otimes, 0)$ consists of
(a1) a category $\mathbb{G}$
(a2) a tensor functor $\otimes : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$
(a3) a unit object $0 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{G})$
(a4) natural isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{A,B,C} : A \otimes (B \otimes C) \to (A \otimes B) \otimes C,$$
$$\lambda_A : 0 \otimes A \to A,\ \rho_A : A \otimes 0 \to A,\ \gamma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \to B \otimes A$$

which satisfy certain compatibility conditions (cf. [5]), and the following two conditions are satisfied:
(b1) For any $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{G})$ and $f \in \mathbb{G}(A, B)$, there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}(B, A)$ such that $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_A$, $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_B$.
(b2) For any $A \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{G})$, there exist $A^* \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{G})$ and $\eta_A \in \mathbb{G}(0, A \otimes A^*)$.

In particular, there is a 'zero categorical group' 0, which consists of only one object 0 and one morphism id_0.

Definition 2.8. For symmetric categorical groups $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, a monoidal functor F from $\mathbb{G}$ to $\mathbb{H}$ consists of
(a1) a functor $F : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{H}$
(a2) natural isomorphisms

$$F_{A,B} : F(A \otimes B) \to F(A) \otimes F(B) \text{ and } F_I : F(0) \to 0$$

which satisfy certain compatibilities with α, λ, ρ, γ. (cf. [5])

Remark 2.9. For any monoidal functors $F : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{H}$ and $G : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{K}$, their composition $F \circ G : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{K}$ is defined by

$$(F \circ G)_{A,B} := G(F_{A,B}) \circ G_{F(A),F(B)} \tag{2.2}$$
$$(F \circ G)_I := G(F_I) \circ G_I. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, there is a 'zero monoidal functor' $0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ for each $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, which sends every object in $\mathbb{G}$ to $0_{\mathbb{H}}$, every arrow in $\mathbb{G}$ to $\mathrm{id}_{0_{\mathbb{H}}}$, and $(0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}})_{A,B} = \lambda_0^{-1} = \rho_0^{-1}$, $(0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}})_I = \mathrm{id}_0$. It is easy to see that $0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} \circ 0_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{K}} = 0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{K}}$ $(\forall \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K})$.

Remark 2.10. Our notion of a monoidal functor is equal to that of a 'γ-monoidal functor' in [7].

Definition 2.11. For monoidal functors $F, G : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, a natural transformation φ from F to G is said to be a monoidal transformation if it satisfies

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_{A\otimes B} \circ G_{A,B} & = & F_{A,B} \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B) \\ F_I & = & \varphi_0 \circ G_I . \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

The following remark is from [9].

Remark 2.12. By condition (b2), it is shown that there exists a 2-cell $\varepsilon_A \in \mathbb{G}(A^* \otimes A, 0)$ for each object A, such that the following compositions are identities:

$$A \xrightarrow[\lambda_A^{-1}]{} 0 \otimes A \xrightarrow[\eta_A \otimes 1]{} (A \otimes A^*) \otimes A \xrightarrow[\alpha^{-1}]{} A \otimes (A^* \otimes A) \xrightarrow[1 \otimes \varepsilon_A]{} A \otimes 0 \xrightarrow[\rho_A]{} A$$

$$A^* \xrightarrow[\rho_{A^*}^{-1}]{} A^* \otimes 0 \xrightarrow[1 \otimes \eta_A]{} A^* \otimes (A \otimes A^*) \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} (A^* \otimes A) \otimes A^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon_A \otimes 1]{} 0 \otimes A^* \xrightarrow[\lambda_{A^*}]{} A^*$$

For each monoidal functor $F : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, there exists a natural morphism $\iota_{F,A} : F(A^*) \rightarrow F(A)^*$.

Definition 2.13. SCG is defined to be the 2-category whose 0-cells are symmetric categorical groups, 1-cells are monoidal functors, and 2-cells are monoidal transformations.

The following two propositions are satisfied in SCG (see for example [1]).

Proposition 2.14. *For any symmetric categorical groups* $\mathbb{G}$ *and* $\mathbb{H}$*, if we define a monoidal functor* $F \otimes_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} G : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ *by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F \otimes_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} G(A) & := & F(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} G(A) \\ (F \otimes_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} G)_{A,B} & := & (F(A \otimes B) \otimes G(A \otimes B) \\ & \xrightarrow{F_{A,B} \otimes G_{A,B}} & F(A) \otimes F(B) \otimes G(A) \otimes G(B) \\ & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F(A) \otimes G(A) \otimes F(B) \otimes G(B)) \\ (F \otimes_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}} G)_I & := & (F(I) \otimes G(I) \xrightarrow{F_I \otimes G_I} I \otimes I \xrightarrow{\simeq} I), \end{array}$$

then $(\mathrm{SCG}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}), \otimes_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}}, 0_{\mathbb{G},\mathbb{H}})$ *becomes again a symmetric categorical group with appropriately defined* $\alpha, \lambda, \rho, \gamma$*, and*

$$\mathrm{Hom} = \mathrm{SCG}(-,-) : \mathrm{SCG} \times \mathrm{SCG} \rightarrow \mathrm{SCG}$$

becomes a 2-functor (*cf. section 6 in* [1]).

In SCG, by definition of the zero categorical group we have $\mathbf{S}^1(\mathbb{G},0) = \{0_{\mathbb{G},0}\}$, while $\mathbf{S}^1(0,\mathbb{G})$ may have more than one objects. In this point SCG might be said to have 'non self-dual' structure, but $\mathbf{S}^1(\mathbb{G},0)$ and $\mathbf{S}^1(0,\mathbb{G})$ have the following 'self-dual' property.

Remark 2.15. (1) For any symmetric categorical group $\mathbb{G}$ and any monoidal functor $F : \mathbb{G} \to 0$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\varphi : F \Longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{G},0}$.

(2) For any symmetric categorical group $\mathbb{G}$ and any monoidal functor $F : 0 \to \mathbb{G}$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\varphi : F \Longrightarrow 0_{0,\mathbb{G}}$.

Proof. (1) follows from the fact that the zero categorical group has only one morphism id_0. (2) follows from condition (2.4) in Definition 2.11. ☐

The usual compatibility arguments show the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $F : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{H}$ be a monoidal functor. For any $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{G})$,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Phi_{A,B}: & \mathbb{G}(A,B) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}(A\otimes B^*,0) \\
& f \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] \arrow[r, mapsto] & (f\otimes 1_{B^*})\circ\eta_B^{-1} \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi_{A,B}: & \mathbb{G}(A\otimes B^*,0) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}(A,B) \\
& g \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] \arrow[r, mapsto] & \rho_A^{-1}\circ(1_A\otimes\varepsilon_B^{-1})\circ\alpha_{A,B^*,B}\circ(g\otimes 1_B)\circ\lambda_B \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

are mutually inverse, and the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathbb{G}(A,B) \arrow[r, "\Phi_{A,B}"] \arrow[dl, "F"'] & \mathbb{G}(A\otimes B^*,0) \arrow[dr, "F"] & \\
\mathbb{H}(F(A),F(B)) \arrow[dr, "\Phi_{F(A),F(B)}"'] & \circlearrowleft & & \mathbb{H}(F(A\otimes B^*),F(0)), \arrow[dll, "\Theta^F_{A,B}"] \\
& \mathbb{H}(F(A)\otimes F(B)^*,0) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\Theta^F_{A,B}$ is defined by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Theta^F_{A,B}: & \mathbb{H}(F(A\otimes B^*),F(0)) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{H}(F(A)\otimes F(B)^*,0) \\
& h \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] \arrow[r, mapsto] & (1_{F(A)}\otimes(\iota_B^F)^{-1})\circ(F_{A,B^*})^{-1}\circ h\circ F_I. \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

3. DEFINITION OF A RELATIVELY EXACT 2-CATEGORY

Locally SCG 2-category.

We define a locally SCG 2-category not only as a 2-category whose Hom-categories are SCG, but with some more conditions, in order to let it be a 2-dimensional analogue of that of an additive category.

Definition 3.1. A locally small 2-category $\mathbf{S}$ is said to be locally SCG if the following conditions are satisfied:
(A1) For every $A, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$, there is a given functor $\otimes_{A,B} : \mathbf{S}(A,B) \times \mathbf{S}(A,B) \to \mathbf{S}(A,B)$, and a given object $0_{A,B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{S}(A,B)) = \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$ such that $(\mathbf{S}(A,B), \otimes_{A,B}, 0_{A,B})$ becomes a symmetric categorical group, and the following naturality conditions are satisfied:

$$0_{A,B} \circ 0_{B,C} = 0_{A,C} \qquad (\forall A, B, C \in \mathbf{S}^0)$$

(A2) Hom $= \mathbf{S}(-,-) : \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S} \to$ SCG is a 2-functor which satisfies for any $A, B, C \in \mathbf{S}^0$,

$$(0_{A,B})^{\sharp}_{I} = \mathrm{id}_{0_{A,C}} \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{A,C}, 0_{A,C}) \tag{3.1}$$

$$(0_{A,B})^{\flat}_{I} = \mathrm{id}_{0_{C,B}} \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{C,B}, 0_{C,B}). \tag{3.2}$$

(A3) There is a 0-cell $0 \in \mathbf{S}^0$ called a zero object, which satisfy the following conditions:
(a3-1) $\mathbf{S}(0,0)$ is the zero categorical group.
(a3-2) For any $A \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(0,A)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\theta_f \in \mathbf{S}^2(f, 0_{0,A})$.
(a3-3) For any $A \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,0)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\tau_f \in \mathbf{S}^2(f, 0_{A,0})$.
(A4) For any $A, B \in \mathbf{S}^0$, their product and coproduct exist.

Let us explain about these conditions.

Remark 3.2. By condition (A1) of Definition 3.1, every 2-cell in a locally SCG 2-category becomes invertible, as in the case of SCG (cf. [9]). This helps us to avoid being fussy about the directions of 2-cells in many propositions and lemmas, and we use the word 'dual' simply to reverse 1-cells.

Remark 3.3. By condition (A2) in Definition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\sharp} &:= f \circ - : \mathbf{S}(B,C) \to \mathbf{S}(A,C) \\ f^{\flat} &:= - \circ f : \mathbf{S}(C,A) \to \mathbf{S}(C,B) \end{aligned}$$

are monoidal functors ($\forall C \in \mathbf{S}^0$) for any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, and the following naturality conditions are satisfied:
(a2-1) For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B), g \in \mathbf{S}^1(B,C)$ and $D \in \mathbf{S}^0$, we have $(f \circ g)^{\sharp} =$

$g^\sharp \circ f^\sharp$ as monoidal functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \arrow[r, "g"] & C \arrow[r] & D
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S}(C,D) \arrow[rr, "g^\sharp"] \arrow[ddr, "(f\circ g)^\sharp"'] & & \mathbf{S}(B,D) \arrow[ddl, "f^\sharp"] \\
& \circlearrowright & \\
& \mathbf{S}(A,D) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(a2-2) The dual of (a2-1) for $-^\flat$.
(a2-3) For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B), g \in \mathbf{S}^1(C,D)$, we have $f^\sharp \circ g^\flat = g^\flat \circ f^\sharp$ as monoidal functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r, "g"] & D
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S}(B,C) \arrow[r, "f^\sharp"] \arrow[d, "g^\flat"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & \mathbf{S}(A,C) \arrow[d, "g^\flat"] \\
\mathbf{S}(B,D) \arrow[r, "f^\sharp"'] & \mathbf{S}(A,D)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since already $(f\circ g)^\sharp = g^\sharp \circ f^\sharp$ as functors, (a2-1) means $(f\circ g)^\sharp_I = (g^\sharp \circ f^\sharp)_I$, and by (2.3) in Remark 2.9, this is equivalent to

$$(f \circ g)^\sharp_I = f^\sharp(g^\sharp_I) \cdot f^\sharp_I = (f \circ g^\sharp_I) \cdot f^\sharp_I.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(f \circ g)^\flat_I = (f^\flat_I \circ g) \cdot g^\flat_I, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(f^\sharp_I \circ g) \cdot g^\flat_I = (f \circ g^\flat_I) \cdot f^\sharp_I. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.4. By condition (A2), for any $f, g \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}^2(f,g)$, $\alpha \circ - : f^\sharp \Rightarrow g^\sharp$ becomes a monoidal transformation. So, the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f \circ (k \otimes h) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha\circ(k\otimes h)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "f^\sharp_{k,h}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & g \circ (k \otimes h) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "g^\sharp_{k,h}"] \\
(f \circ k) \otimes (f \circ h) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\alpha\circ k)\otimes(\alpha\circ h)"'] & (g \circ k) \otimes (g \circ h)
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{tikzcd}
f \circ 0_{B,C} \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\alpha\circ 0_{B,C}"] \arrow[ddr, Rightarrow, "f^\sharp_I"'] & & g \circ 0_{B,C} \arrow[ddl, Rightarrow, "g^\sharp_I"] \\
& \circlearrowright & \\
& 0_{A,C} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $k, h \in \mathbf{S}^1(B,C)$. Similar statement also holds for $-\circ\alpha : f^\flat \Rightarrow g^\flat$.

Corollary 3.5. *In a locally* SCG *2-category* $\mathbf{S}$, *the following are satisfied*:
(1) *For any diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, bend left, "h"] \arrow[r, bend right, "0_{C,A}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\varepsilon"] & A \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "g"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\alpha"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have

$$h \circ \alpha = (\varepsilon \circ f) \cdot f^\flat_I \cdot g^{\flat -1}_I \cdot (\varepsilon^{-1} \circ g). \tag{3.5}$$

(2) *For any diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "g"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\alpha"] & B \arrow[r, bend left, "h"] \arrow[r, bend right, "0_{B,C}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\varepsilon"] & C \end{tikzcd},$$

we have

$$\alpha\circ h=(f\circ\varepsilon)\cdot f_I^{\sharp}\cdot g_I^{\sharp-1}\cdot(g\circ\varepsilon^{-1}). \tag{3.6}$$

(3) *For any diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] \arrow[r, bend right, "0_{A,B}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\alpha"] & B \arrow[r, bend left, "g"] \arrow[r, bend right, "0_{B,C}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\beta"] & C \end{tikzcd},$$

we have

$$(f\circ\beta)\cdot f_I^{\sharp}=(\alpha\circ g)\cdot g_I^{\flat}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. (1) $(h\circ\alpha)\underset{2.1}{=}(\varepsilon\circ f)\cdot(0_{C,A}\circ\alpha)\cdot(\varepsilon^{-1}\circ g)=(\varepsilon\circ f)\cdot f_I^{\flat}\cdot g_I^{\flat-1}\cdot(\varepsilon^{-1}\circ g)$.
(2) is the dual of (1). And (3) follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.5), (3.6). □

Remark 3.6. We don't require a locally SCG 2-category to satisfy $\mathbf{S}^1(A,0)=\{0_{A,0}\}$, for the sake of duality (see the comments before Remark 2.15).

Relatively exact 2-category.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a locally SCG 2-category. $\mathbf{S}$ is said to be relatively exact if the following conditions are satisfied:
(B1) For any 1-cell $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, its kernel and cokernel exist.
(B2) For any 1-cell $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, f is faithful if and only if $f=\ker(\mathrm{cok}(f))$.
(B3) For any 1-cell $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, f is cofaithful if and only if $f=\mathrm{cok}(\ker(g))$.
It is shown in [9] that SCG satisfies these conditions.

Let us explain about these conditions.

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a locally SCG 2-category. For any $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, its kernel $(\mathrm{Ker}(f),\ker(f),\varepsilon_f)$ is defined by universality as follows (we abbreviate $\ker(f)$ to $k(f)$) :
(a) $\mathrm{Ker}(f)\in\mathbf{S}^0$, $k(f)\in\mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(f),A)$, $\varepsilon_f\in\mathbf{S}^2(k(f)\circ f,0)$.
(b1) (existence of a factorization)

For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$, there exist $\underline{k} \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, \mathrm{Ker}(f))$ and $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k} \circ k(f), k)$ such that $(\underline{\varepsilon} \circ f) \cdot \varepsilon = (\underline{k} \circ \varepsilon_f) \cdot (\underline{k})^{\sharp}_{I}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[rrd, "k"] \arrow[rrrd, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dd, "\underline{k}"'] & & & \\
& \arrow[phantom, "\overset{\Nearrow}{\underline{\varepsilon}}"] & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\varepsilon_f"] & B \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[rru, "k(f)"'] \arrow[rrru, bend right, "0"'] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b2) (uniqueness of the factorization)

For any factorizations $(\underline{k}, \underline{\varepsilon})$ and $(\underline{k}', \underline{\varepsilon}')$ which satisfy (b1), there exists a unique 2-cell $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, \underline{k}')$ such that $(\xi \circ k(f)) \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}' = \underline{\varepsilon}$.

Remark 3.9. (1) By its universality, the kernel of f is unique up to an equivalence. We write this equivalence class again $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f), k(f), \varepsilon_f]$.

(2) It is also easy to see that if f and f' are equivalent, then

$$[\mathrm{Ker}(f), k(f), \varepsilon_f] = [\mathrm{Ker}(f'), k(f'), \varepsilon_{f'}].$$

For any f, its cokernel $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = [\mathrm{Cok}(f), c(f), \pi_f]$ is defined dually, and the dual statements also hold for the cokernel.

Remark 3.10. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a locally SCG 2-category, and let f be in $\mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$.

For any pair (k, ε) with $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(0, A), \varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "k"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

and for any pair (k', ε') with $k' \in \mathbf{S}^1(0, A), \varepsilon' \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(k, k')$ such that $(\xi \circ f) \cdot \varepsilon' = \varepsilon$.

Proof. By condition (a3-2) of Definition 3.1, $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$ must be equal to the unique 2-cell $(\theta_k \circ f) \cdot f^{\flat}_{I}$. Similarly we have $\varepsilon' = (\theta_{k'} \circ f) \cdot f^{\flat}_{I}$, and, ξ should be the unique 2-cell $\theta_k \cdot \theta_{k'}^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}^2(k, k')$, which satisfies $(\xi \circ f) \cdot \varepsilon' = \varepsilon$. $\square$

From this, it makes no ambiguity if we abbreviate $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = [0, 0_{0,A}, f^{\flat}_{I}]$ to $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = 0$, because $[0, k, \varepsilon] = [0, k', \varepsilon']$ for any (k, ε) and (k', ε'). Dually, we abbreviate $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = [0, 0_{A,0}, f^{\sharp}_{I}]$ to $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = 0$.

By using condition (A3) of Definition 3.1, we can show the following easily:

Example 3.11. (1) For any $A \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{A,0} : A \to 0) = [A, \mathrm{id}_A, \mathrm{id}_0]$.

(2) For any $A \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $\mathrm{Cok}(0_{0,A} : 0 \to A) = [A, \mathrm{id}_A, \mathrm{id}_0]$.

Caution 3.12. (1) $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,A} : 0 \to A)$ *need not be equivalent to* 0. *Indeed, in the case of* SCG, *for any symmetric categorical group* $\mathbb{G}$, $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,\mathbb{G}} : 0 \to \mathbb{G})$ *is equivalent to an important invariant* $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})[0]$.

(2) $\mathrm{Cok}(0_{A,0} : A \to 0)$ *need not be equivalent to* 0 *either. In the case of* SCG*,* $\mathrm{Cok}(0_{\mathbb{G},0} : \mathbb{G} \to 0)$ *is equivalent to* $\pi_0(\mathbb{G})[1]$.

Remark 3.13. The precise meaning of condition (B2) in Definition 3.7 is that, for any 1-cell $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$ and its cokernel $[\mathrm{Cok}(f), \mathrm{cok}(f), \pi_f]$, f is faithful if and only if $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{cok}(f)) = [A, f, \pi_f]$. Similarly for condition (B3).

Relative (co-)kernel and first properties of a relatively exact 2-category.

Throughout this subsection, $\mathbf{S}$ is a relatively exact 2-category.

Definition 3.14. For any diagram in $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varphi"] & C
\end{tikzcd} , \tag{3.8}$$

its relative kernel $(\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi), \mathrm{ker}(f,\varphi), \varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)})$ is defined as follows (we abbreviate $\mathrm{ker}(f,\varphi)$ to $k(f,\varphi)$) :

(a) $\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi) \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k(f,\varphi) \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi), A)$, $\varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)} \in \mathbf{S}^2(k(f,\varphi) \circ f, 0)$.

(b0) (compatibility of the 2-cells)

$\varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)}$ is compatible with φ i.e. $(k(f,\varphi) \circ \varphi) \cdot k(f,\varphi)^{\sharp}_{I} = (\varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)} \circ g) \cdot g^{\flat}_{I}$.

(b1) (existence of a factorization)

For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K,A)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$ which are compatible with φ, i.e. $(k \circ \varphi) \cdot k^{\sharp}_{I} = (\varepsilon \circ g) \cdot g^{\flat}_{I}$, there exist $\underline{k} \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, \mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi))$ and $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k} \circ k(f,\varphi), k)$ such that $(\underline{\varepsilon} \circ f) \cdot \varepsilon = (\underline{k} \circ \varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)}) \cdot (\underline{k})^{\sharp}_{I}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[dr, "k"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dd, "\underline{k}"'] & & & \\
& A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)}"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\underline{\varepsilon}"] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varphi"] & C \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi) \arrow[ur, "k(f,\varphi)"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b2) (uniqueness of the factorization)

For any factorizations $(\underline{k}, \underline{\varepsilon})$ and $(\underline{k}', \underline{\varepsilon}')$ which satisfy (b1), there exists a unique 2-cell $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, \underline{k}')$ such that $(\xi \circ k(f,\varphi)) \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}' = \underline{\varepsilon}$.

Remark 3.15. By its universality, the relative kernel of (f,φ) is unique up to an equivalence. We write this equivalence class $[\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi), k(f,\varphi), \varepsilon_{(f,\varphi)}]$.

Definition 3.16. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a relatively exact 2-category. For any diagram (3.8) in $\mathbf{S}$, its relative cokernel $(\mathrm{Cok}(g,\varphi), \mathrm{cok}(g,\varphi), \pi_{(g,\varphi)})$ is defined dually by universality. We abbreviate $\mathrm{cok}(g,\varphi)$ to $c(g,\varphi)$, and write the equivalence class of the relative cokernel $[\mathrm{Cok}(g,\varphi), c(g,\varphi), \pi_{(g,\varphi)}]$.

Caution 3.17. *In the rest of this paper,* $\mathbf{S}$ *denotes a relatively exact 2-category, unless otherwise specified. In the following propositions and lemmas, we often omit the statement and the proof of their duals. Each term should be replaced by its dual. For example, kernel and cokernel, faithfulness and cofaithfulness are mutually dual.*

Remark 3.18. By using condition (A3) of Definition 3.1, we can show the following easily. (These are also corollaries of Proposition 3.20.)
(1) $\mathrm{Ker}(f, f^{\sharp}_I) = \mathrm{Ker}(f)$ (and thus the ordinary kernel can be regarded as a relative kernel).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0", ""{name=U, below}] & B \arrow[r, "0"'] \arrow[to=U, Rightarrow, "f^{\sharp}_I"', phantom, shorten=2pt, "\Uparrow f^{\sharp}_I"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) $\mathrm{ker}(f, \varphi)$ is faithful.

Lemma 3.19. *Let* $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$ *and take its kernel* $[\mathrm{Ker}(f), k(f), \varepsilon_f]$. *If* $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, \mathrm{Ker}(f))$ *and* $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ k(f), 0)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "k"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & \mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[r, "k(f)"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\sigma\Downarrow"] & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon_f"] & B \\
& {} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is compatible with ε_f, *i.e. if* σ *satisfies*

$$(\sigma \circ f) \cdot f^{\flat}_I = (k \circ \varepsilon_f) \cdot k^{\sharp}_I, \tag{3.9}$$

then there exists a unique 2-cell $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^2(k, 0)$ *such that* $\sigma = (\zeta \circ k(f)) \cdot k(f)^{\flat}_I$.

Proof. By (3.9), $\sigma : k \circ k(f) \Longrightarrow 0$ is a factorization compatible with ε_f and $f^{\flat}_I$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.4, $k(f)^{\flat}_I : 0 \circ k(f) \Rightarrow 0$ is also a factorization compatible with ε_f, $f^{\flat}_I$. So, by the universality of the kernel, there exists a unique 2-cell $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^2(k, 0)$ such that $\sigma = (\zeta \circ k(f)) \cdot k(f)^{\flat}_I$. □

It is easy to see that the same statement also holds for relative (co-)kernels. In any relatively exact 2-category, the relative (co-)kernel always exist. More precisely, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.20. *Consider diagram (3.8) in* $\mathbf{S}$. *By the universality of* $\mathrm{Ker}(g) = [\mathrm{Ker}(g), \ell, \varepsilon]$, f *factors through* ℓ *uniquely up to an equivalence as*

$\underline{\varphi} : \underline{f} \circ \ell \Longrightarrow f$, where $\underline{f} \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, \mathrm{Ker}(g))$ and $\underline{\varphi} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{f} \circ \ell, f)$:

$$(\underline{f} \circ \varepsilon) \cdot (\underline{f})^{\sharp}_I = (\underline{\varphi} \circ g) \cdot \varphi \qquad \begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[dr, "\ell"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\underline{\varphi}\Downarrow"] & & \\
 & A \arrow[ur, "\underline{f}"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] \arrow[rrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[ul, phantom, "\varepsilon_{\underline{f}}\Nwarrow"] & {} & B \arrow[r, "g"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\varphi\Downarrow"] & C \\
 \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f}) \arrow[ur, "k(\underline{f})"'] \arrow[uurr, bend left, "0"] & & & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have $\mathrm{Ker}(f, \varphi) = [\mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f}), k(\underline{f}), \eta]$*, where* $\eta := (k(\underline{f}) \circ \underline{\varphi}^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{\underline{f}} \circ \ell) \cdot \ell^{\flat}_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(k(\underline{f}) \circ f, 0)$*. We abbreviate this to* $\mathrm{Ker}(f, \varphi) = \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f})$*.*

Proof. For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$ which are compatible with φ, i.e. $(\sigma \circ g) \cdot g^{\flat}_I = (k \circ \varphi) \cdot k^{\sharp}_I$, if we put

$$\rho := (k \circ \underline{\varphi}) \cdot \sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ \underline{f} \circ \ell, 0),$$

then ρ is compatible with ε. By Lemma 3.19, there exists a 2-cell $\zeta : k \circ \underline{f} \Rightarrow 0$ such that $\rho = (\zeta \circ \ell) \cdot \ell^{\flat}_I$. So, by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f})$, there exist $\underline{k} \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f}))$ and $\underline{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k} \circ k(\underline{f}), k)$ such that $(\underline{\sigma} \circ \underline{f}) \cdot \zeta = (\underline{k} \circ \varepsilon_{\underline{f}}) \cdot (\underline{k})^{\sharp}_I$. Then, $\underline{\sigma}$ is compatible with σ and η,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[drr, "k"] \arrow[drrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dd, "\underline{k}"'] & & {} & \\
 & {} & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\sigma"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\eta"] & B \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f}) \arrow[urr, "k(\underline{f})"'] \arrow[urrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\underline{\sigma}\Nearrow"] & & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the existence of a factorization is shown. To show the uniqueness of the factorization, let $(\underline{k}', \underline{\sigma}')$ be another factorization which is compatible with σ, η, i.e. $(\underline{\sigma}' \circ f) \cdot \sigma = (\underline{k}' \circ \eta) \cdot (\underline{k}')^{\sharp}_I$. Then, by using $\eta = (k(\underline{f}) \circ \underline{\varepsilon}^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{\underline{f}} \circ \ell) \cdot \ell^{\flat}_I$ and $\zeta \circ \ell = \rho \cdot \ell^{\flat -1}_I = (k \circ \underline{\varepsilon}) \cdot \sigma \cdot \ell^{\flat -1}_I$, we can show $((\underline{\sigma}' \circ \underline{f}) \cdot \zeta) \circ \ell = ((\underline{k}' \circ \varepsilon_{\underline{f}}) \cdot (\underline{k}')^{\sharp}_I) \circ \ell$. Since ℓ is faithful, we obtain $((\underline{\sigma}' \circ \underline{f}) \cdot \zeta) = (\underline{k}' \circ \varepsilon_{\underline{f}}) \cdot (\underline{k}')^{\sharp}_I$. Thus, $\underline{\sigma}'$ is compatible with ζ and $\varepsilon_{\underline{f}}$. By the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f})$, there exists a 2-cell $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, \underline{k}')$ such that $(\xi \circ k(\underline{f})) \cdot \underline{\sigma}' = \underline{\sigma}$. Uniqueness of such $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, \underline{k}')$ follows from the faithfulness of $k(\underline{f})$. □

Proposition 3.21. *Let $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$, $g \in \mathbf{S}^1(B, C)$ and suppose g is fully faithful. Then,* $\mathrm{Ker}(f \circ g) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f), k(f), (\varepsilon_f \circ g) \cdot g^{\flat}_I]$*. We abbreviate this to* $\mathrm{Ker}(f \circ g) = \mathrm{Ker}(f)$*.*

Proof. Since g is fully faithful, for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0, k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f \circ g, 0)$, there exists $\rho \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0)$ such that $\sigma = (\rho \circ g) \cdot g^{\flat}_I$. And by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(f)$, there are $\underline{k} \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, \mathrm{Ker}(f))$ and $\underline{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k} \circ k(f), k)$

such that $(\underline{\sigma} \circ f) \cdot \rho = (\underline{k} \circ \varepsilon_f) \cdot (\underline{k})^\sharp_I$. Then, it can be easily seen that $\underline{\sigma}$ is compatible with σ and $(\varepsilon_f \circ g) \cdot g^\flat_I$:

$$(\underline{\sigma} \circ f \circ g) \cdot \sigma = (\underline{k} \circ ((\varepsilon_f \circ g) \cdot g^\flat_I)) \cdot (\underline{k})^\sharp_I \qquad \begin{tikzcd} K \arrow[dd, "\underline{k}"'] \arrow[drr, "k", ""{name=Kk}] \arrow[drrr, bend left=35, "0", ""'{name=T}] & & & \\ & & A \arrow[r, "f\circ g"'] \arrow[to=T, Rightarrow, "\sigma"'] & C \\ \mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[urr, "k(f)"'] \arrow[urrr, bend right=35, "0"', ""{name=B}] \arrow[to=Kk, Rightarrow, "\underline{\sigma}"'] & & & \arrow[from=2-3, to=B, Rightarrow, "(\varepsilon_f\circ g)\cdot g^\flat_I"] \end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we obtain a desired factorization. To show the uniqueness of the factorization, let $(\underline{k}', \underline{\sigma}')$ be another factorization of k which satisfies

$$(\underline{\sigma}' \circ f \circ g) \cdot \sigma = (\underline{k}' \circ ((\varepsilon_f \circ g) \cdot g^\flat_I)) \cdot (\underline{k}')^\sharp_I$$

Then, we can show $\underline{\sigma}'$ is compatible with ρ and ε_f. By the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(f)$, there exists a 2-cell $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, \underline{k}')$ such that $(\xi \circ k(f)) \cdot \underline{\sigma}' = \underline{\sigma}$. Uniqueness of such ξ follows from the faithfulness of $k(f)$. $\square$

By definition, $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$ is faithful (resp. fully faithful) if and only if $- \circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(g, h) \to \mathbf{S}^2(g \circ f, h \circ f)$ is injective (resp. bijective) for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and any $g, h \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$. Concerning this, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.22. *Let $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$.*
(1) *f is faithful if and only if for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$,*

$$- \circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(k, 0) \to \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0 \circ f) \text{ is injective.}$$

(2) *f is fully faithful if and only if for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$,*

$$- \circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(k, 0) \to \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ f, 0 \circ f) \text{ is bijective.}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.16, we have the following commutative diagram for any $g, h \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathbf{S}^2(g,h) \arrow[rr, "\Phi_{g,h}", "\text{bij.}"'] \arrow[dl, "-\circ f"'] & & \mathbf{S}^2(g\otimes h^*, 0) \arrow[dr, "-\circ f"] & \\ \mathbf{S}^2(g\circ f, h\circ f) \arrow[drr, "\Phi_{g\circ f, h\circ f}", "\text{bij.}"'] & & \circlearrowleft & & \mathbf{S}^2((g\otimes h^*)\circ f, 0\circ f) \arrow[dll, "\Theta^{f^\flat}_{g,h}"', "\text{bij.}"] \\ & & \mathbf{S}^2((g\circ f)\otimes(h\circ f)^*, 0) & & \end{tikzcd}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(g,h) \to \mathbf{S}^2(g\circ f, h\circ f) \text{ is injective (resp.bijective)} \\ \Leftrightarrow\quad & -\circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(g\otimes h^*, 0) \to \mathbf{S}^2((g\otimes h^*)\circ f, 0\circ f) \text{ is injective (resp.bijective).} \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.23. *For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, f is faithful if and only if the following condition is satisfied*:

$$\alpha\circ f = \mathrm{id}_{0\circ f} \Rightarrow \alpha = \mathrm{id}_0 \ \ (\forall K \in \mathbf{S}^0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{K,A}, 0_{K,A})) \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. If f is faithful, (3.10) is trivially satisfied, since we have $\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f} = \mathrm{id}_0 \circ f$. To show the other implication, by Lemma 3.22, it suffices to show that $-\circ f : \mathbf{S}^2(k,0) \to \mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f, 0\circ f)$ is injective. For any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ which satisfy $\alpha_1 \circ f = \alpha_2 \circ f$, we have $(\alpha_1^{-1}\cdot\alpha_2)\circ f = (\alpha_1\circ f)^{-1}\cdot(\alpha_2\circ f) = \mathrm{id}_{0\circ f}$. From the assumption we obtain $\alpha_1^{-1}\cdot\alpha_2 = \mathrm{id}_0$, i.e. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. □

The next corollary immediately follows from Lemma 3.22.

Corollary 3.24. *For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, f is fully faithful if and only if for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K,A)$, and any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f, 0)$, there exists unique $\tau \in \mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ such that $\sigma = (\tau\circ f)\cdot f^{\flat}_I$.*

Corollary 3.25. *For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, the following are equivalent*:
(1) *f is fully faithful.*
(2) $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = 0$.

Proof. $\underline{(1)\Rightarrow(2)}$

Since f is fully faithful, for any $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K,A)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f, 0)$, there exists a 2-cell $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{K,A}, k)$ such that $(\underline{\varepsilon}\circ f) = (0\circ f^{\flat}_I)\cdot 0^{\sharp}_I\cdot\varepsilon^{-1} = (0\circ f^{\flat}_I)\cdot\varepsilon^{-1}$, and the existence of a factorization is shown. To show the uniqueness of the factorization, it suffices to show that for any other factorization $(\underline{k}', \underline{\varepsilon}')$ with $(\underline{\varepsilon}'\circ f)\cdot\varepsilon = (\underline{k}'\circ f^{\flat}_I)\cdot(\underline{k}')^{\sharp}_I$, the unique 2-cell $\tau \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}', 0)$ (see condition (a3-2) in Definition 3.1) satisfies $(\tau\circ 0)\cdot\underline{\varepsilon} = \underline{\varepsilon}'$. Since f is faithful, this is equivalent to $(\tau\circ 0\circ f)\cdot(\underline{\varepsilon}\circ f)\cdot\varepsilon = (\underline{\varepsilon}'\circ f)\cdot\varepsilon$, and this follows easily from $\tau\circ 0 = (\tau\circ 0)\cdot 0^{\sharp}_I = (\underline{k}')^{\sharp}_I$ and $(\tau\circ 0\circ f)\cdot(0\circ f^{\flat}_I) = (\underline{k}'\circ f^{\flat}_I)\cdot(\tau\circ 0)$. (see Corollary 3.5.)
$\underline{(2)\Rightarrow(1)}$ Since $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = [0, 0, f^{\flat}_I]$, for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K,A)$ and any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f, 0)$, there exist $\underline{k} \in \mathbf{S}^1(K,0)$ and $\underline{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}\circ 0, k)$ such that $(\underline{\sigma}\circ f)\cdot\sigma = (\underline{k}\circ f^{\flat}_I)\cdot(\underline{k})^{\sharp}_I$. Thus $\tau := \underline{\sigma}^{-1}\cdot\underline{k}^{\sharp}_I$ satisfies $\sigma = (\tau\circ f)\cdot f^{\flat}_I$. If there exists another $\tau' \in \mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ satisfying $\sigma = (\tau'\circ f)\cdot f^{\flat}_I$, then by the universality of the kernel, there exists $\upsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{k}, 0)$ such that $(\upsilon\circ 0)\cdot\tau'^{-1} = \underline{\tau}$. Since $\upsilon\circ 0 = \underline{k}^{\sharp}_I$ by (3.7), we obtain $\tau = \tau'$. Thus τ is uniquely determined.

□

Proposition 3.26. *For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, the following are equivalent.*
(1) *f is an equivalence.*
(2) *f is cofaithful and fully faithful.*
(3) *f is faithful and fully cofaithful.*

Proof. Since (1)⇔(3) is the dual of (1)⇔(2), we show only (1)⇔(2).
(1)⇒(2) : trivial.
(2)⇒(1) : Since f is cofaithful, we have $f = \mathrm{cok}(\mathrm{ker}(f))$, $\mathrm{Cok}(k(f)) = [B, f, \varepsilon_f]$. On the other hand, since f is fully faithful, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = [0, 0, f^{\flat}_I]$, and so we have $\mathrm{Cok}(k(f)) = [A, \mathrm{id}_A, \mathrm{id}_0]$. And by the uniqueness (up to an equivalence) of the cokernel, there is an equivalence from A to B, which is equivalent to f. Thus, f becomes an equivalence.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & B \\
0 \arrow[r, "k(f)=0_{0,A}"'] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] & A \arrow[ur, "f"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \varepsilon_f"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}_A"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Updownarrow"] & \\
 & & A \arrow[uu, "\exists\text{equiv.}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Lemma 3.27. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a faithful 1-cell in $\mathbf{S}$. Then, for any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, 0)$, we have $\mathbf{S}^2(k \circ 0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}, 0_{K,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}) = \{k^{\sharp}_I\}$.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "k"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0_{K,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}"] & 0 \arrow[r, "0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow k^{\sharp}_I"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ 0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}, 0_{K,\mathrm{Ker}(f)})$, we can show $((\sigma \circ k(f)) \cdot k(f)^{\flat}_I) \circ f = ((k \circ k(f)^{\flat}_I) \cdot k^{\sharp}_I) \circ f$. By the faithfulness of f, we have $(\sigma \circ k(f)) \cdot k(f)^{\flat}_I = (k \circ k(f)^{\flat}_I) \cdot k^{\sharp}_I$. Thus, we have $\sigma \circ k(f) = k^{\sharp}_I \circ k(f)$. By the faithfulness of $k(f)$, we obtain $\sigma = k^{\sharp}_I$. □

Corollary 3.28. *$f : A \to B$ is faithful if and only if* $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,A}, f^{\flat}_I) = 0$.

Proof. Since there is a factorization diagram with $(0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)} \circ \varepsilon_f) \cdot (0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)})^{\sharp}_I = (k(f)^{\flat}_I \circ f) \cdot f^{\flat}_I$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[dr, "k(f)"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
 & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Uparrow \varepsilon_f"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow f^{\flat}_I"] & B \\
0 \arrow[uu, "0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}"] \arrow[ur, "0_{0,A}"] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Downarrow k(f)^{\flat}_I"] & &
\end{tikzcd},$$

(see (a3-2) in Definition 3.1) we have $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,A}, f^\flat_I) = \mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)})$ by Proposition 3.20. So, it suffices to show $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}) = 0$. For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, 0)$, we have $\mathbf{S}^2(k \circ 0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}, 0_{K,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}) = \{k^\sharp_I\}$ by the Lemma 3.27. So $0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}$ becomes fully faithful, and thus $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f)}) = 0$.

Conversely, assume $\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,A}, f^\flat_I) = 0$. For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{K,A}, 0_{K,A})$ satisfying $\alpha \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{0\circ f}$, we show $\alpha = \mathrm{id}_0$ (Corollary 3.23).

By $\alpha \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{0\circ f}$, α is compatible with $f^\flat_I$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(0_{0,A}, f^\flat_I) \ = 0 \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}_0"'] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & & \\
& 0 \arrow[u, phantom, "\mathrm{id}_0 \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha \Downarrow"] \arrow[r, "0_{0,A}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow f^\flat_I"] \arrow[r, "f"'] & B \\
K \arrow[ur, "0_{K,0}"] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So there exist $k \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, 0)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ \mathrm{id}_0, 0_{K,0})$ satisfying

$$(\varepsilon \circ 0_{0,A}) \cdot \alpha = (k \circ \mathrm{id}_0) \cdot k^\sharp_I.$$

Since $\varepsilon \circ 0_{0,A} = k^\sharp_I$ by (3.1) and (3.6), we obtain $\alpha = \mathrm{id}_0$. □

In any relatively exact 2-category $\mathbf{S}$, the difference kernel of any pair of 1-cells $g, h : A \to B$ always exists. More precisely, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.29. *For any $g, h \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$, if we take the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(g \otimes h^*) = [\mathrm{Ker}(g \otimes h^*), k, \varepsilon]$ of $g \otimes h^*$ and put $\widetilde{\varepsilon} := \Psi_{k\circ g, k\circ h}(\Theta^{k^\sharp}_{g,h}(\varepsilon \cdot k^{\sharp -1}_I)) \in \mathbf{S}^2(k \circ g, k \circ h)$, then $(\mathrm{Ker}(g \otimes h^*), k, \widetilde{\varepsilon})$ is the difference kernel of g and h.*

Proof. For any $K \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and $\ell \in \mathbf{S}^1(K, A)$, there exists a natural isomorphism (Lemma 2.16)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{S}^2(\ell \circ (g \otimes h^*), 0) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{S}^2(\ell \circ g, \ell \circ h) \\
\sigma \arrow[u, phantom, "\Cup"] \arrow[r, mapsto] & \widetilde{\sigma} := \Psi_{\ell\circ g, \ell\circ h}(\Theta^{\ell^\sharp}_{g,h}(\sigma \cdot \ell^\sharp_I)). \arrow[u, phantom, "\Cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

So, to give a 2-cell $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^2(\ell \circ (g \otimes h^*), 0)$ is equivalent to give a 2-cell $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\ell \circ g, \ell \circ h)$. And, by using Remark 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, the usual compatibility argument shows the proposition. □

In any relatively exact 2-category $\mathbf{S}$, the pullback of any pair of morphisms $f_i : A_i \to B$ $(i = 1, 2)$ always exists. More precisely, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.30. *For any $f_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_i, B)$ $(1 = 1, 2)$, if we take the product of A_1 and A_2 $(A_1 \times A_2, p_1, p_2)$, and take the difference kernel (D, d, φ) of $p_1 \circ f_1$ and $p_2 \circ f_2$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r, "d"] & A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, bend left, "p_1 \circ f_1"] \arrow[r, bend right, "p_2 \circ f_2"'] & B
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_1 \arrow[dr, "f_1"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\varphi"] & \\
D \arrow[ur, "d \circ p_1"] \arrow[dr, "d \circ p_2"'] & & B \\
 & A_2 \arrow[ur, "f_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd} ,$$

then, $(D, d \circ p_1, d \circ p_2, \varphi)$ is the pullback of f_1 and f_2.

of condition (b1) *(in Definition 2.4).* For any $X \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $g_i \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2(g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2)$, by the universality of $A_1 \times A_2$, there exist $g \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, A_1 \times A_2)$ and $\xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(d \circ p_i, g_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Applying the universality of the difference kernel to the 2-cell

$$\zeta := (\xi_1 \circ f_1) \cdot \eta \cdot (\xi_2^{-1} \circ f_2) \in \mathbf{S}^2(g \circ p_1 \circ f_1, g \circ p_2 \circ f_2), \tag{3.11}$$

we see there exist $\underline{g} \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, D)$ and $\underline{\zeta} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{g} \circ d, g)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X \arrow[dl, "\underline{g}"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & & \\
D \arrow[rr, "d"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\underline{\zeta}}{\Rrightarrow}"] & & A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, bend left, "p_1 \circ f_1"] \arrow[r, bend right, "p_2 \circ f_2"'] & B
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.12}$$

such that

$$(\underline{g} \circ \varphi) \cdot (\underline{\zeta} \circ p_2 \circ f_2) = (\underline{\zeta} \circ p_1 \circ f_1) \cdot \zeta. \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.11) and (3.13), we have $(\underline{g} \circ \varphi) \cdot (((\underline{\zeta} \circ p_2) \cdot \xi_2) \circ f_2) = (((\underline{\zeta} \circ p_1) \cdot \xi_1) \circ f_1) \cdot \eta$, and thus condition (b1) is satisfied.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A_1 \arrow[dr, "f_1"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\varphi"] & \\
X \arrow[urr, bend left, "g_1"] \arrow[r, "\underline{g}"'] \arrow[drr, bend right, "g_2"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "(\underline{\zeta} \circ p_1) \cdot \xi_1"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(\underline{\zeta} \circ p_2) \cdot \xi_2"'] & D \arrow[ur, "d \circ p_1"] \arrow[dr, "d \circ p_2"'] & & B \\
 & & A_2 \arrow[ur, "f_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

proof of condition (b2)

If we take $h \in \mathbf{S}^1(X, D)$ and $\eta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(h \circ d \circ p_i, g_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ which satisfy $(h \circ \varphi) \cdot (\eta_2 \circ f_2) = (\eta_1 \circ f_1) \cdot \eta$, then by the universality of $A_1 \times A_2$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(h \circ d, g)$ such that

$$(\kappa \circ p_i) \cdot \xi_i = \eta_i \ (i = 1, 2). \tag{3.14}$$

Then, κ becomes compatible with φ and ζ, i.e. $(h\circ\varphi)\cdot(\kappa\circ p_2\circ f_2)=(\kappa\circ p_1\circ f_1)\cdot\zeta$. So, comparing this with factorization (3.12), by the universality of the difference kernel, we see there exists a unique 2-cell $\chi\in\mathbf{S}^2(h,\underline{g})$ which satisfies

$$(\chi\circ d)\cdot\underline{\zeta}=\kappa \tag{3.15}$$

Then we have $(\chi\circ d\circ p_i)\cdot(\underline{\zeta}\circ p_i)\cdot\xi_i=(\kappa\circ p_i)\cdot\xi_i=\eta_i$ $(i=1,2)$. Thus χ is the desired 2-cell in condition (b2), and the uniqueness of such a χ follows from the uniqueness of κ and χ which satisfy (3.14) and (3.15). $\square$

By the universality of the pullback, we have the following remark:

Remark 3.31. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] & \\
A_1\times_B A_2 \arrow[ur, "f'_1"] \arrow[dr, "f'_2"'] & \Downarrow\xi & B \\
& A_1 \arrow[ur, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.16}$$

be a pull-back diagram. Then, for any $K\in\mathbf{S}^0$, $g,h\in\mathbf{S}^1(K,A_1\times_B A_2)$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbf{S}^2(g,h)$, we have

$$\alpha\circ f'_i=\beta\circ f'_i\ (i=1,2)\Longrightarrow\alpha=\beta.$$

Proof. To the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] & \\
K \arrow[ur, "g\circ f'_1"] \arrow[dr, "g\circ f'_2"'] & {}^{g\circ\xi}\Downarrow & B, \\
& A_1 \arrow[ur, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

the following diagram gives a factorization which satisfies condition (b1) in Definition 2.4.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \circlearrowright & A_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] & \\
K \arrow[rru, bend left, "g\circ f'_1"] \arrow[rrd, bend right, "g\circ f'_2"'] \arrow[r, "g"'] & A_1\times_B A_2 \arrow[ur, "f'_1"] \arrow[dr, "f'_2"'] & \Downarrow\xi & B \\
& \circlearrowright & A_1 \arrow[ur, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since each of $\mathrm{id}_g:g\Longrightarrow g$ and $\alpha\circ\beta^{-1}:g\Longrightarrow g$ gives a 2-cell which satisfies condition (b2), we have $\alpha\circ\beta^{-1}=\mathrm{id}$ by the uniqueness. Thus $\alpha=\beta$. $\square$

Proposition 3.32. (*See also Proposition 5.12.*) *Let* (*3.16*) *be a pull-back diagram. We have*

(1) f_1*: faithful* $\Rightarrow$ f'_1*: faithful.*

(2) f_1*: fully faithful* $\Rightarrow$ f'_1*: fully faithful.*

(3) f_1*: cofaithful* $\Rightarrow$ f'_1*: cofaithful.*

Proof. proof of (1) By Corollary 3.23, it suffices to show $\alpha\circ f'_1=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_1}\Rightarrow\alpha=\mathrm{id}_0$ for any $K\in\mathbf{S}^0$ and $\alpha\in\mathbf{S}^2(0_{K,A_1\times_B A_2},0_{K,A_1\times_B A_2})$. Since $(0\circ\xi)\cdot(\alpha\circ f'_2\circ f_1)=(\alpha\circ f'_1\circ f_2)\cdot(0\circ\xi)=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_1\circ f_2}\cdot(0\circ\xi)=0\circ\xi$, we have $\alpha\circ f'_2\circ f_1=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_2\circ f_1}=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_2}\circ f_1$. Since f_1 is faithful, we obtain $\alpha\circ f'_2=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_2}$. Thus, we have $\alpha\circ f'_i=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ f'_i}=\mathrm{id}_0\circ f'_i$ $(i=1,2)$. By Remark 3.31, this implies $\alpha=\mathrm{id}_0$.

proof of (2) By (1), f'_1 is already faithful. By Corollary 3.23, it suffices to show that for any $K\in\mathbf{S}^0$, $k\in\mathbf{S}^1(K,A_1\times_B A_2)$ and any $\sigma\in\mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f'_1,0)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa\in\mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ such that $\sigma=(\kappa\circ f'_1)\cdot(f'_1)^\flat_I$. Since f_1 is fully faithful, for any $K\in\mathbf{S}^0$, $k\in\mathbf{S}^1(K,A_1\times_B A_2)$ and any $\sigma\in\mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f'_1,0)$, there exists $\tau\in\mathbf{S}^2(k\circ f'_2,0)$ such that $(\tau\circ f_1)\cdot(f_1)^\flat_I=(k\circ\xi^{-1})\cdot(\sigma\circ f_2)\cdot(f_2)^\flat_I$. Then, for the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& A_1 \arrow[dr, "f_1"] \arrow[dd, phantom, "\overset{\Vert}{\underset{\Downarrow}{(f_1)^\flat_I\cdot(f_2)^{\flat-1}_I}}"] & \\
K \arrow[ur, "0"] \arrow[dr, "0"'] & & B\ , \\
& A_2 \arrow[ur, "f_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

each of the factorizations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & A_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\xi"] & \\
K \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, "k"'] & A_1\times_B A_2 \arrow[ur, "f'_1"] \arrow[dr, "f'_2"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\sigma\,\Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\tau\,\Downarrow"] & & B \\
& & A_1 \arrow[ur, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
& & A_2 \arrow[dr, "f_2"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\xi"] & \\
K \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, "0"'] & A_1\times_B A_2 \arrow[ur, "f'_1"] \arrow[dr, "f'_2"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "(f'_1)^\flat_I\,\Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "(f'_2)^\flat_I\,\Downarrow"] & & B \\
& & A_1 \arrow[ur, "f_1"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfies condition (b1) in Definition 2.4. So there exists a 2-cell $\kappa\in\mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ such that $\sigma=(\kappa\circ f'_1)\cdot(f'_1)^\flat_I$. Uniqueness of such κ follows from the faithfulness of f'_1.

proof of (3) Let $(A_1\times A_2,p_1,p_2)$ be the product of A_1 and A_2. For $\mathrm{id}_{A_1}\in\mathbf{S}^1(A_1,A_1)$ and $0\in\mathbf{S}^1(A_1,A_2)$, by the universality of $A_1\times A_2$, there exist $i_1\in\mathbf{S}^1(A_1,A_1\times A_2)$, $\xi_1\in\mathbf{S}^2(i_1\circ p_1,\mathrm{id}_{A_1})$ and $\xi_2\in\mathbf{S}^2(i_2\circ p_2,0)$. Similarly, there is a 1-cell $i_2\in\mathbf{S}^1(A_2,A_1\times A_2)$ such that there are equivalences $i_2\circ p_2\simeq\mathrm{id}_{A_2}$, $i_2\circ p_1\simeq 0$. If we put $t:=(p_1\circ f_1)\otimes(p_2\circ f_2)^*$, then by Proposition 3.29 and 3.30, we have $A_1\times_B A_2=\mathrm{Ker}(t)$. So we may assume $\mathrm{Ker}(t)=[A_1\times_B A_2,d,\varepsilon_t]$ and $f'_1=d\circ p_2$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1\times_B A_2 \arrow[r, "d"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A_1\times A_2 \arrow[r, "t"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon_t"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $i_1 \circ t$ and f_1 are equivalent;

$$i_1 \circ t \simeq (i_1 \circ p_1 \circ f_1) \otimes (i_1 \circ p_2 \circ f_2^*) \simeq (\mathrm{id}_{A_1} \circ f_1) \otimes (0 \circ f_2^*) \simeq f_1,$$

by the cofaithfulness of f_1, it follows that t is cofaithful. Thus, we have $B = \mathrm{Cok}(\ker(t))$, i.e. $\mathrm{Cok}(d) = [B, t, \varepsilon_t]$. By (the dual of) Corollary 3.23, it suffices to show $f_1' \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}_{f_1' \circ 0} \Rightarrow \alpha = \mathrm{id}_0$ for any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}^2(0_{A_2,C}, 0_{A_2,C})$. For the 2-cell $(d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(d \circ p_2 \circ 0_{A_2,C}, 0)$ (see the following diagram), by the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(d)$, there exist $u \in \mathbf{S}^1(B, C)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ u, p_2 \circ 0)$ such that $(d \circ \gamma) \cdot (d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I = (\varepsilon_t \circ u) \cdot u^\flat_I$. Thus, if we put $\gamma' := \gamma \cdot (p_2 \circ \alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(d \circ \gamma') \cdot (d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I &= (d \circ \gamma) \cdot (d \circ p_2 \circ \alpha) \cdot (d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I \\ &= (d \circ \gamma) \cdot (f_1' \circ \alpha) \cdot (d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I = (\varepsilon_t \circ u) \cdot u^\flat_I.\end{aligned}$$

So, γ and $\gamma' \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ u, p_2 \circ 0)$ give two factorization of $p_2 \circ 0$ compatible with ε_t and $(d \circ p_2)^\sharp_I$. By the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(d) = [B, t, \varepsilon_t]$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\beta \in \mathbf{S}^2(u, u)$ such that

$$(t \circ \beta) \cdot \gamma = \gamma'. \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_1 \arrow[d, "i_1"'] \arrow[dr, "f_1"] & \text{equivalence} \\
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[dr, "f_1'"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright", near end, shift left=3] & A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, "t"'] \arrow[d, "p_2"'] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, shorten >=20pt] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\gamma"] & B \arrow[d, bend right, "u"'] \arrow[d, bend left, "u"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overset{\Leftarrow}{\beta}"] \\
 & A_2 \arrow[r, bend left, "0"] \arrow[r, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\alpha"] & C
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have $(i_1 \circ t \circ \beta) \cdot (i_1 \circ \gamma) = i_1 \circ \gamma' = (i_1 \circ \gamma) \cdot (i_1 \circ p_2 \circ \alpha) = (i_1 \circ \gamma) \cdot (\xi_2 \circ 0) \cdot (0 \circ \alpha) \cdot (\xi_2^{-1} \circ 0) = (i_1 \circ \gamma)$, and thus, $(i_1 \circ t) \circ \beta = \mathrm{id}_{i_1 \circ t \circ u}$. Since $i_1 \circ t \simeq f_1$ is cofaithful, we obtain $\beta = \mathrm{id}_u$. So, by (3.17), we have $\gamma = \gamma' = \gamma \cdot (p_2 \circ \alpha)$, and consequently $p_2 \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}_{p_2 \circ 0}$. Since p_2 is cofaithful (because $i_2 \circ p_2 \simeq \mathrm{id}_{A_2}$ is cofaithful), we obtain $\alpha = \mathrm{id}_0$. ☐

Proposition 3.33. *Consider diagram (3.8) in* $\mathbf{S}$. *If we take* $\mathrm{Ker}(f, \varphi) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f, \varphi), \ell, \varepsilon]$, *then by the universality of* $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f), k(f), \varepsilon_f]$, ℓ *factors uniquely up to an equivalence as*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi) \arrow[dd, "\underline{\ell}"'] \arrow[dr, "\ell"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & & \\
 & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon", shift left=4] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\varepsilon_f"', shift right=4] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] & C, \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[ur, "k(f)"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\overset{\Rightarrow}{\underline{\varepsilon}}"] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $(\underline{\varepsilon}\circ f)\cdot\varepsilon=(\underline{\ell}\circ\varepsilon_f)\cdot(\underline{\ell})^{\sharp}_{I}$. *Then,* $\underline{\ell}$ *becomes fully faithful.*

Proof. Since $\underline{\ell}\circ k(f)$ is equivalent to a faithful 1-cell ℓ, so $\underline{\ell}$ becomes faithful. For any $K\in\mathbf{S}^0$, $k\in\mathbf{S}^1(K,\mathrm{Ker}(f,\varphi))$ and $\sigma\in\mathbf{S}^2(k\circ\underline{\ell},0)$, if we put $\sigma':=(k\circ\underline{\varepsilon}^{-1})\cdot(\sigma\circ k(f))\cdot k(f)^{\flat}_{I}\in\mathbf{S}^2(k\circ\ell,0)$, then σ' becomes compatible with ε. So, by Lemma 3.19, there exists $\tau\in\mathbf{S}^2(k,0)$ such that $\sigma'=(\tau\circ\ell)\cdot\ell^{\flat}_{I}$, i.e.

$$(k\circ\underline{\varepsilon}^{-1})\cdot(\sigma\circ k(f))\cdot(k(f))^{\flat}_{I}=(\tau\circ\ell)\cdot\ell^{\flat}_{I}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now, since $(k\circ\underline{\varepsilon})\cdot(\tau\circ\ell)\cdot\ell^{\flat}_{I}=(\tau\circ\underline{\ell}\circ k(f))\cdot(\underline{\ell}\circ k(f))^{\flat}_{I}$ by Corollary 3.5, (3.18) is equivalent to $(\sigma\circ k(f))\cdot(k(f))^{\flat}_{I}=(\tau\circ\underline{\ell}\circ k(f))\cdot(\underline{\ell}^{\flat}_{I}\circ k(f))\cdot(k(f))^{\flat}_{I}$.

Thus, we obtain $\sigma\circ k(f)=((\tau\circ\underline{\ell})\cdot\underline{\ell}^{\flat}_{I})\circ k(f)$. Since $k(f)$ is faithful, it follows that $\sigma=(\tau\circ\underline{\ell})\cdot\underline{\ell}^{\flat}_{I}$. Uniqueness of such τ follows from the faithfulness of $\underline{\ell}$. Thus $\underline{\ell}$ becomes fully faithful by Corollary 3.24. □

4. EXISTENCE OF PROPER FACTORIZATION SYSTEMS

Definition 4.1. For any $A,B\in\mathbf{S}^0$ and $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, we define its image as $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{cok}(f))$.

Remark 4.2. By the universality of the kernel, there exist $i(f)\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,\mathrm{Im}(f))$ and $\iota\in\mathbf{S}^2(i(f)\circ k(c(f)),f)$ such that $(\iota\circ c(f))\cdot\pi_f=(i(f)\circ\varepsilon_{c(f)})\cdot i(f)^{\sharp}_{I}$. Coimage of f is defined dually, and we obtain a factorization through $\mathrm{Coim}(f)$.

Proposition 4.3 (1st factorization)**.** *For any* $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$*, the factorization* $\iota: i(f)\circ k(c(f))\Longrightarrow f$ *through* $\mathrm{Im}(f)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[dr, "i(f)"'] & {\Uparrow\iota} & B \\
& \mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[ur, "k(c(f))"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfies the following properties:
(A) $i(f)$ *is fully cofaithful and* $k(c(f))$ *is faithful.*
(B) *For any factorization* $\eta: i\circ m\Longrightarrow f$ *where* m *is faithful, following* (b1) *and* (b2) *hold*:
(b1) *There exist* $t\in\mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f),C)$, $\zeta_m\in\mathbf{S}^2(t\circ m,k(c(f)))$, $\zeta_i\in\mathbf{S}^2(i(f)\circ t,i)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& C \arrow[dr, "m"] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "i"] \arrow[dr, "i(f)"'] & {\zeta_i\Uparrow \qquad \Downarrow\zeta_m} & B \\
& \mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[uu, "t"] \arrow[ur, "k(c(f))"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $(i(f)\circ\zeta_m)\cdot\iota=(\zeta_i\circ m)\cdot\eta$.
(b2) *If both* (t,ζ_m,ζ_i) *and* (t',ζ'_m,ζ'_i) *satisfy* (b1), *then there is a unique 2-cell* $\kappa\in\mathbf{S}^2(t,t')$ *such that* $(i(f)\circ\kappa)\cdot\zeta'_i=\zeta_i$ *and* $(\kappa\circ m)\cdot\zeta'_m=\zeta_m$.

Dually, we obtain the following proposition for the coimage factorization.

Proposition 4.4 (2nd factorization)**.** *For any* $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, *the factorization* $\mu: c(k(f))\circ j(f)\Longrightarrow f$ *through* $\mathrm{Coim}(f)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Coim}(f) \arrow[dr, "j(f)"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\mu\Downarrow"] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "c(k(f))"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] & {} & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfies the following properties:
(A) $j(f)$ *is fully faithful and* $c(k(f))$ *is cofaithful.*
(B) *For any factorization* $\nu: e\circ j\Longrightarrow f$ *where* e *is cofaithful, following* (b1) *and* (b2) (*the dual of the conditions in Proposition 4.3*) *hold*:
(b1) *There exists* $s\in\mathbf{S}^1(C,\mathrm{Coim}(f))$, $\zeta_e\in\mathbf{S}^2(e\circ s,c(k(f)))$, *and* $\zeta_j\in\mathbf{S}^2(s\circ j(f),j)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Coim}(f) \arrow[dr, "j(f)"] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "c(k(f))"] \arrow[dr, "e"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\zeta_e\Uparrow"] & {} & B \arrow[l, phantom, "\Downarrow\zeta_j"'] \\
& C \arrow[uu, "s"'] \arrow[ur, "j"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $(e\circ\zeta_j)\cdot\nu=(\zeta_e\circ j(f))\cdot\mu$.
(b2) *If both* (s,ζ_e,ζ_j) *and* (s',ζ'_e,ζ'_j) *satisfy* (b1), *then there is a unique 2-cell* $\lambda\in\mathbf{S}^2(t,t')$ *such that* $(\lambda\circ j(f))\cdot\zeta'_j=\zeta_j$ *and* $(e\circ\lambda)\cdot\zeta'_e=\zeta_e$.

In the rest of this section, we show Proposition 4.3.

Lemma 4.5. *For any* $f\in\mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$, $i(f)$ *is cofaithful.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $C\in\mathbf{S}^0$ and $\alpha\in\mathbf{S}^2(0_{\mathrm{Im}(f),C},0_{\mathrm{Im}(f),C})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "i(f)"] & \mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[r, bend left=50, "0", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=50, "0"', ""{name=D}] & C, \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U, to=D, "\alpha"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have $i(f)\circ\alpha=\mathrm{id}_{i(f)\circ 0}\Longrightarrow\alpha=\mathrm{id}_0$. Take the pushout of $k(c(f))$ and $0_{\mathrm{Im}(f),C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& B \arrow[rd, "i_B"] \arrow[dd, phantom, "\Downarrow\xi"] & \\
\mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[ru, "k(c(f))"] \arrow[rd, "0"'] & & C\coprod\limits_{\mathrm{Im}(f)}B \\
& C \arrow[ru, "i_C"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &:= \xi\cdot(\xi_1\circ f_2)\cdot\eta=(g\circ\xi)\cdot(\xi_2\circ f_1)(i_C)^\flat_I=(k(c(f))\circ i_B\overset{\xi}{\Longrightarrow}0\circ i_C\overset{(i_C)^\flat_I}{\Longrightarrow}0)\\
\xi_2 &:= \xi\cdot(\alpha\circ i_C)\cdot(i_C)^\flat_I=(k(c(f))\circ i_B\overset{\xi}{\Longrightarrow}0\circ i_C\overset{\alpha\circ i_C}{\Longrightarrow}0\circ i_C\overset{(i_C)^\flat_I}{\Longrightarrow}0).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since i_C is faithful by (the dual of) Lemma 3.32, we have

$$\alpha=\mathrm{id}_0\Longleftrightarrow\alpha\circ i_C=\mathrm{id}_{0\circ i_C}\Longleftrightarrow\xi\cdot(\alpha\circ i_C)\cdot(i_C)^\flat_0=\xi\cdot\mathrm{id}_{0\circ i_C}\cdot(i_C)^\flat_I\Longleftrightarrow\xi_1=\xi_2.$$

So, it suffices to show $\xi_1=\xi_2$. For each $i=1,2$, since $\mathrm{Cok}(k(c(f))=[\mathrm{Cok}(f),c(f),\varepsilon_{c(f)}]$, there exist $e_i\in\mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(f),C\coprod\limits_{\mathrm{Im}(f)}B)$ and $\varepsilon_i\in\mathbf{S}^2(c(f)\circ e_i,i_B)$ such that

$$(k(c(f))\circ\varepsilon_i)\cdot\xi_i=(\varepsilon_{c(f)}\circ e_i)\cdot(e_i)^\flat_I. \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dd, "e_i"] \\
& B \arrow[ru, "c(f)"] \arrow[rd, "i_B"] \arrow[lu, phantom, "\overset{\varepsilon_{c(f)}}{\Leftarrow}"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\swarrow\varepsilon_i"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\xi_i"] & \\
\mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[ru, "k(c(f)"] \arrow[rr, "0"'] \arrow[rruu, bend left, "0"] & {} & C\coprod\limits_{\mathrm{Im}(f)}B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since by assumption $i(f)\circ\alpha=\mathrm{id}_{i(f)\circ 0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(f)\circ\xi_2 &= (i(f)\circ\xi)\cdot(i(f)\circ\alpha\circ i_C)\cdot(i(f)\circ(i_C)^\flat_I)\\
&= (i(f)\circ\xi)\cdot(\mathrm{id}_{i(f)\circ 0\circ i_C})\cdot(i(f)\circ(i_C)^\flat_I)=i(f)\circ\xi_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, if we put $\varpi:=(\iota^{-1}\circ i_B)\cdot(i(f)\circ\xi_i)\cdot(i(f))^\sharp_I\in\mathbf{S}^2(f\circ i_B,0)$, this doesn't depend on $i=1,2$. We can show easily $(f\circ\varepsilon_i)\cdot\varpi=(\pi_f\circ e_i)\cdot(e_i)^\flat_I$ $(i=1,2)$. Thus (e_1,ε_1) and (e_2,ε_2) are two factorizations of i_B compatible with ϖ and

π_f.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dd, "e_i"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\swarrow \varepsilon_i"] \\
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & B \arrow[ur, "c(f)"] \arrow[dr, "i_B"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \pi_f"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\varpi \swarrow"] & \\
 & & C \coprod_{Imf} B
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(f)$, there exists a 2-cell $\beta \in \mathbf{S}^2(e_1, e_2)$ such that $(c(f) \circ \beta) \cdot \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1$, and thus we have $\varepsilon_1^{-1} = \varepsilon_2^{-1} \cdot (c(f) \circ \beta^{-1})$. So, by (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= (k(c(f)) \circ \varepsilon_1^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ e_1) \cdot (e_1)^\flat_I \\
&= (k(c(f)) \circ \varepsilon_2^{-1}) \cdot (k(c(f)) \circ c(f) \circ \beta^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ e_1) \cdot (e_1)^\flat_I \\
&\underset{3.5}{=} (k(c(f)) \circ \varepsilon_2^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ e_2) \cdot (e_2)^\flat_I = \xi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, B)$. Let $\iota : i(f) \circ k(c(f)) \Longrightarrow f$ be the factorization of f through* $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ *as before. If we are given a factorization $\eta : i \circ m \Longrightarrow f$ of f where $i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, C)$, $m \in \mathbf{S}^1(C, B)$ and m is faithful, then there exist $t \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), C)$, $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t, i)$ and $\zeta_m \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ m, k(c(f)))$ such that $(\zeta_i \circ m) \cdot \eta = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota$.*

Proof. By the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(f)$, for $\pi := (\eta^{-1} \circ c(m)) \cdot (i \circ \pi_m) \cdot i^\sharp_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(f \circ c(m), 0)$, there exist $\overline{m} \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(f), \mathrm{Cok}(m))$ and $\overline{\eta} \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(f) \circ \overline{m}, c(m))$ such that

$$(f \circ \overline{\eta}) \cdot \pi = (\pi_f \circ \overline{m}) \cdot (\overline{m})^\flat_I. \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dd, "\overline{m}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\swarrow \overline{\eta}"] \\
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & B \arrow[ur, "c(f)"] \arrow[dr, "c(m)"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\pi_f \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\swarrow \pi"] & \\
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since m is faithful by assumption, it follows $\mathrm{Ker}(c(m)) = [C, m, \pi_m]$. By the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(c(m))$, for the 2-cell

$$\zeta := (k(c(f)) \circ \overline{\eta}^{-1}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ \overline{m}) \cdot (\overline{m})^\flat_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(k(c(f)) \circ c(m), 0), \tag{4.3}$$

there exist $t \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), C)$ and $\zeta_m \in S^2(t \circ m, k(c(f)))$ such that $(\zeta_m \circ c(m)) \cdot \zeta = (t \circ \pi_m) \cdot t^\sharp_I$.

If we put $\overline{\zeta} := (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota$, then the following claim holds:

Claim 4.7. *Each of the two factorizations of f through* $\mathrm{Ker}(c(m))$

$$\eta : i \circ m \Longrightarrow f \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\zeta} : i(f) \circ t \circ m \Longrightarrow f$$

is compatible with π_m and π.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[dr, "m"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dr, phantom, shift right=4, "\Downarrow"] & \arrow[d, phantom, "\Uparrow \pi_m"] & \\
& B \arrow[r, "c(m)"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \pi"] & \mathrm{Cok}(m) \\
A \arrow[uu] \arrow[ur, "f"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If the above claim is proven, then by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(c(m)) = [C, m, \pi_m]$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t, i)$ such that $(\zeta_i \circ m) \cdot \eta = \overline{\zeta}$. Thus we obtain (t, ζ_m, ζ_i) which satisfies $(\zeta_i \circ m) \cdot \eta = \overline{\zeta} = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota$, and the lemma is proven. So, we show Claim 4.7.

(a) compatibility of η with π_m, π

This follows immediately from the definition of π.

(b) compatibility of $\overline{\zeta}$ with π_m, π

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(f) \circ \zeta \underset{4.3}{=} & (\iota \circ c(m)) \cdot (f \circ \overline{\eta}^{-1}) \cdot (\iota^{-1} \circ c(f) \circ \overline{m}) \\
& \cdot (i(f) \circ \varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ \overline{m})) \cdot (i(f) \circ (\overline{m})^{\flat}_{I}) \\
\underset{4.2}{=} & (\iota \circ c(m)) \cdot \pi \cdot i(f)^{\sharp -1}_{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain $(i(f) \circ t \circ \pi_m) \cdot (i(f) \circ t^{\sharp}_{I}) = (\overline{\zeta} \circ c(m)) \cdot \pi \cdot i(f)^{\sharp -1}_{I}$. So we have

$$(\overline{\zeta} \circ c(m)) \cdot \pi = (i(f) \circ t \circ \pi_m) \cdot (i(f) \circ t^{\sharp}_{I}) \cdot i(f)^{\sharp -1}_{I} = (i(f) \circ t \circ \pi_m) \cdot (i(f) \circ t)^{\sharp}_{I}.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let $A, B, C \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $f, m, i \in \mathbf{S}^1$, $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2$ be as in Lemma 4.6. If a triplet (t', ζ'_m, ζ'_i) (where $t' \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), C), \zeta'_m \in \mathbf{S}^2(t' \circ m, k(c(f))), \zeta'_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t', i)$) satisfies*

$$(i(f) \circ \zeta'_m) \cdot \iota = (\zeta'_i \circ m) \cdot \eta, \tag{4.4}$$

then ζ'_m becomes compatible with ζ and π_m (in the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.6), i.e. we have $(\zeta'_m \circ c(m)) \cdot \zeta = (t' \circ \pi_m) \cdot (t')^{\sharp}_{I}$.

Remark 4.9. Since m is faithful, ζ'_m which satisfies (4.4) is uniquely determined by t' and ζ'_i if it exists.

of Lemma 4.8. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i(f) \circ ((\zeta'_m \circ c(m) \cdot \zeta) \\ \underset{4.4,2.1}{=} \; & (\zeta'_i \circ m \circ c(m)) \cdot (\eta \circ c(m)) \cdot (f \circ \overline{\eta}^{-1}) \cdot (\iota^{-1} \circ c(f) \circ \overline{m}) \\ & \cdot (i(f) \circ \varepsilon_{c(f)} \circ \overline{m}) \cdot (i(f) \circ (\overline{m})^{\flat}_{I}) \\ \underset{4.2}{=} \; & ((i(f) \circ t' \circ \pi_m) \cdot (i(f) \circ (t')^{\sharp}_{I}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $(\zeta'_m \circ c(m)) \cdot \zeta = (t' \circ \pi_m) \cdot (t')^{\sharp}_{I}$ by the cofaithfulness of $i(f)$. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let A, B, C, f, m, i, η as in Proposition 4.3. If both (t, ζ_m, ζ_i) and (t', ζ'_m, ζ'_i) satisfy* (b1)*, then there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(t, t')$ such that $(i(f) \circ \kappa) \cdot \zeta'_i = \zeta_i$ and $(\kappa \circ m) \cdot \zeta'_m = \zeta_m$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, there exists a 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(t, t')$ such that $(\kappa \circ m) \cdot \zeta'_m = \zeta_m$ by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(c(m)) = [C, m, \pi_m]$. This κ also satisfies $\zeta_i = (i(f) \circ \kappa) \cdot \zeta'_i$, and unique by the cofaithfulness of $i(f)$. □

Considering the case of $C = \mathrm{Im}(f)$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *For any $t \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathrm{Im}(f))$, $\zeta_m \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ k(c(f)), k(c(f)))$ and $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t, i(f))$ satisfying $(\zeta_i \circ k(c(f))) \cdot \iota = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(t, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Im}(f)})$ such that $i(f) \circ \kappa = \zeta_i$ and $\kappa \circ k(c(f)) = \zeta_m$.*

Now, we can prove Proposition 4.3.

of Proposition 4.3. Since all the other is already shown, it suffices to show the following:

Claim 4.12. *For any $C \in \mathbf{S}^0$ and any $g, h \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), C)$,*

$$i(f) \circ - : \mathbf{S}^2(g, h) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ g, i(f) \circ h)$$

is surjective.

So, we show Claim 4.12. If we take the difference kernel of g and h;

$$d_{(g,h)} : \mathrm{DK}(g, h) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f),\ \ \varphi_{(g,h)} : d_{(g,h)} \circ g \Longrightarrow d_{(g,h)} \circ h,$$

then by the universality of the difference kernel, for any $\beta \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ g, i(f) \circ h)$ there exist $i \in \mathbf{S}^1(A, \mathrm{DK}(g, h))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{S}^2(i \circ d_{(g,h)}, i(f))$

$$\begin{tikzcd} & A \arrow[dl, "i"'] \arrow[dr, "i(f)"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overset{\lambda}{\Nearrow}"] & & \\ \mathrm{DK}(g,h) \arrow[rr, "d_{(g,h)}"'] & {} & \mathrm{Im}(f) \arrow[r, bend left, "g"] \arrow[r, bend right, "h"'] & C \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $(i \circ \varphi_{(g,h)}) \cdot (\lambda \circ h) = (\lambda \circ g) \cdot \beta$.

If we put $m := d_{(g,h)} \circ k(c(f))$, then m becomes faithful since $d_{(g,h)}$ and $k(c(f))$ are faithful. Applying Lemma 4.6 to the factorization $\eta := (\lambda \circ k(c(f))) \cdot \iota : i \circ m \Longrightarrow f$, we obtain $t \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathrm{DK}(g,h))$, $\zeta_m \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ m, k(c(f)))$ and $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t, i)$ such that $(\zeta_i \circ m) \cdot \eta = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota$. Thus we have

$$(\zeta_i \circ d_{(g,h)} \circ k(c(f))) \cdot (\lambda \circ k(c(f))) \cdot \iota = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota.$$

So, if we put $\overline{\zeta}_i := (\zeta_i \circ d_{(g,h)}) \cdot \lambda \in \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ t \circ d_{(g,h)}, i(f))$, then we have

$$(\overline{\zeta}_i \circ k(c(f))) \cdot \iota = (i(f) \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \iota.$$

By Corollary 4.11, there exists a 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ d_{(g,h)}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Im}(f)})$ such that $\kappa \circ k(c(f)) = \zeta_m$ and $i(f) \circ \kappa = \overline{\zeta}_i$. If we put $\alpha := (\kappa^{-1} \circ g) \cdot (t \circ \varphi_{(g,h)}) \cdot (\kappa \circ h) \in \mathbf{S}^2(g,h)$, we can show that α satisfies $i(f) \circ \alpha = \beta$. Thus $i(f) \circ - : \mathbf{S}^2(g,h) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^2(i(f) \circ g, i(f) \circ h)$ is surjective. □

Remark 4.13. In condition (B) of Proposition 4.3, if moreover i is fully cofaithful, then t becomes fully cofaithful since i and $i(f)$ are fully cofaithful. On the other hand, t is faithful since $k(c(f))$ is faithful. So, in this case t becomes an equivalence by Proposition 3.26.

Together with Corollary 4.11, we can show easily the following corollary:

Corollary 4.14. *For any* $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$*, the following* (b1) *and* (b2) *hold:*
(b1) *If in the factorizations*

$$\begin{tikzcd} & C \arrow[dr, "m"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta"'] & & & & C' \arrow[dr, "m'"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta'"'] & \\ A \arrow[ur, "i"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] & {} & B & & A \arrow[ur, "i'"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] & {} & B, \end{tikzcd}$$

m, m' *are faithful and* i, i' *are fully cofaithful, then there exist* $t \in \mathbf{S}^1(C, C')$, $\zeta_m \in \mathbf{S}^2(t \circ m', m)$*, and* $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{S}^2(i \circ t, i')$ *such that* $(i \circ \zeta_m) \cdot \eta = (\zeta_i \circ m') \cdot \eta'$.
(b2) *If both* (t, ζ_m, ζ_i) *and* (t', ζ'_m, ζ'_i) *satisfy* (b1)*, then there is a unique 2-cell* $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(t, t')$ *such that* $(i \circ \kappa) \cdot \zeta'_i = \zeta_i$ *and* $(\kappa \circ m') \cdot \zeta'_m = \zeta_m$.

Remark 4.15. Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 implies respectively the existence of (2,1)-proper factorization system and (1,2)-proper factorization system in any relatively exact 2-category, in the sense of [2].

In the notation of this section, condition (B2) and (B3) in Definition 3.7 can be written as follows:

Corollary 4.16. *For any* $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$*, we have*;
(1) f *is faithful iff* $i(f) : A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f)$ *is an equivalence.*
(2) f *is cofaithful iff* $j(f) : \mathrm{Coim}(f) \longrightarrow B$ *is an equivalence.*

Proof. Since (1) is the dual of (2), we show only (2).

In the coimage factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Coim}(f) \arrow[dr, "j(f)"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \mu_f"'] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "c(k(f))"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] & {} & B
\end{tikzcd},$$

since $c(k(f))$ is cofaithful and $j(f)$ is fully faithful, we have
f is cofaithful$\Longleftrightarrow j(f)$ is cofaithful $\underset{\text{Prop. 3.26}}{\Longleftrightarrow}$ $j(f)$ is an equivalence. □

5. Definition of relative 2-exactness

Diagram lemmas (1).

Definition 5.1. A complex $A_\bullet = (A_n, d^A_n, \delta^A_n)$ is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\Downarrow"] & \cdots A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-2}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow \delta^A_{n-1}"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\Downarrow \delta^A_n"] & A_n \arrow[r, "d^A_n"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow \delta^A_{n+1}"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n+1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\Downarrow"] & A_{n+2} \cdots & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A_n \in \mathbf{S}^0$, $d^A_n \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_n, A_{n+1})$, $\delta^A_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(d^A_{n-1} \circ d^A_n, 0)$, and satisfies the following compatibility condition for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(d^A_{n-1} \circ \delta^A_{n+1}) \cdot (d^A_{n-1})^\sharp_I = (\delta^A_n \circ d^A_{n+1}) \circ (d^A_{n+1})^\flat_I$$

Remark 5.2. We consider a bounded complex as a particular case of a complex, by adding zeroes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots 0 \arrow[r, "0"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\mathrm{id} \Downarrow"] & 0 \arrow[r, "0"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow (d^A_0)^\flat_I"] & A_0 \arrow[r, "d^A_0"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\Downarrow \delta^A_1"] & A_1 \arrow[r, "d^A_1"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow \delta^A_2"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d^A_2"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\Downarrow"] & A_3 \cdots & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 5.3. For any complexes $A_\bullet = (A_n, d^A_n, \delta^A_n)$ and $B_\bullet = (B_n, d^B_n, \delta^B_n)$, a complex morphism $f_\bullet = (f_n, \lambda_n) : A_\bullet \longrightarrow B_\bullet$ consists of $f_n \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_n, B_n)$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(d^A_n \circ f_{n+1}, f_n \circ d^B_n)$ for each n, satisfying

$$(\delta^A_n \circ f_{n+1}) \cdot (f_{n+1})^\flat_I = (d^A_{n-1} \circ \lambda_n) \cdot (\lambda_{n-1} \circ d^B_n) \cdot (f_{n-1} \circ \delta^B_n) \cdot (f_{n-1})^\sharp_I.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-2}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n-2}" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \lambda_{n-2}"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n-1}" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \lambda_{n-1}"] & A_n \arrow[r, "d^A_n"] \arrow[d, "f_n" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \lambda_n"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n+1}" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \lambda_{n+1}"] & A_{n+2} \cdots \arrow[d, "f_{n+2}" description] \\
\cdots B_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n-2}"'] & B_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n-1}"'] & B_n \arrow[r, "d^B_n"'] & B_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n+1}"'] & B_{n+2} \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 5.4. *Consider the following diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[d, "b"] \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"'] & B_2
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.1}$$

If we take the cokernels of f_1 *and* f_2, *then there exist* $\overline{b} \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(f_1), \mathrm{Cok}(f_2))$ *and* $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(f_1) \circ \overline{b}, b \circ c(f_2))$ *such that*

$$(\pi_{f_1} \circ \overline{b}) \cdot (\overline{b})^\flat_I = (f_1 \circ \overline{\lambda}) \cdot (\lambda \circ c(f_2)) \cdot (a \circ \pi_{f_2}) \cdot a^\sharp_I.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\pi_{f_1}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "b"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}"] \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\pi_{f_2}\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $(\overline{b}', \overline{\lambda}')$ *also satisfies this condition, there exists a unique 2-cell* $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(\overline{b}, \overline{b}')$ *such that* $(c(f_1) \circ \xi) \cdot \overline{\lambda}' = \overline{\lambda}$.

Proof. This follows immediately if we apply the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(f_1)$ to $(\lambda \circ c(f_2)) \cdot (a \circ \pi_{f_2}) \cdot a^\sharp_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(f_1 \circ b \circ c(f_2), 0)$. □

Proposition 5.5. *Consider the following diagrams in* $\mathbf{S}$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "a_1"'] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[d, "b_1"] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "b"] \\
A_2 \arrow[r, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\overset{\alpha}{\Leftarrow}"] \arrow[d, "a_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[d, "b_2"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\underset{\beta}{\Rightarrow}"] \\
A_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[d, "b"] \\
A_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfy $(f_1 \circ \beta) \cdot \lambda = (\lambda_1 \circ b_2) \cdot (a_1 \circ \lambda_2) \cdot (\alpha \circ f_3)$. *Applying Proposition 5.4, we obtain diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "b"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}"] \\
B_3 \arrow[r, "c(f_3)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "b_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}_1"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}_1"] \\
B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"] \arrow[d, "b_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}_2"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}_2"] \\
B_3 \arrow[r, "c(f_3)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with

$$(5.2)\qquad \begin{aligned} (\pi_{f_1}\circ\overline{b})\cdot(\overline{b})^{\flat}_I &= (f_1\circ\overline{\lambda})\cdot(\lambda\circ c(f_3))\cdot(a\circ\pi_{f_3})\cdot a^{\sharp}_I \\ (\pi_{f_1}\circ\overline{b}_1)\cdot(\overline{b}_1)^{\flat}_I &= (f_1\circ\overline{\lambda}_1)\cdot(\lambda_1\circ c(f_2))\cdot(a_1\circ\pi_{f_2})\cdot(a_1)^{\sharp}_I \\ (\pi_{f_2}\circ\overline{b}_2)\cdot(\overline{b}_2)^{\flat}_I &= (f_2\circ\overline{\lambda}_2)\cdot(\lambda_2\circ c(f_3))\cdot(a_2\circ\pi_{f_3})\cdot(a_2)^{\sharp}_I. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists a unique 2-cell $\overline{\beta}\in\mathbf{S}^2(\overline{b}_1\circ\overline{b}_2,\overline{b})$ *such that*

$$(c(f_1)\circ\overline{\beta})\cdot\overline{\lambda}=(\overline{\lambda}_1\circ\overline{b}_2)\cdot(b_1\circ\overline{\lambda}_2)\cdot(\beta\circ c(f_3)).$$

Proof. By (5.2), $\overline{\lambda}$ is compatible with π_{f_1} and $(\lambda\circ c(f_3))\cdot(a\circ\pi_{f_3})\cdot a^{\sharp}_I$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[dd, "\overline{b}"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & B_1 \arrow[ur, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[dr, "b\circ c(f_3)"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \pi_{f_1}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow (\lambda\circ c(f_3))\cdot(a\circ\pi_{f_3})\cdot a^{\sharp}_I"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Swarrow \overline{\lambda}"] & \\
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand, $\overline{\lambda}' := (\overline{\lambda}_1\circ\overline{b}_2)\cdot(b_1\circ\overline{\lambda}_2)\cdot(\beta\circ c(f_3))$ is also compatible with π_{f_1} and $(\lambda\circ c(f_3))\cdot(a\circ\pi_{f_3})\cdot a^{\sharp}_I$. So, by the universality of the $\mathrm{Cok}(f_1)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\overline{\beta}\in\mathbf{S}^2(\overline{b}_1\circ\overline{b}_2,\overline{b})$ such that $(c(f_1)\circ\overline{\beta})\cdot\overline{\lambda}=\overline{\lambda}'$. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let* $(f_n,\lambda_n):(A_n,d^A_n,\delta^A_n)\longrightarrow(B_n,d^B_n,\delta^B_n)$ *be a complex morphism. Then, by taking the cokernels, we obtain a complex morphism* $(c(f_n),\overline{\lambda}_n):(B_n,d^B_n,\delta^B_n)\longrightarrow(\mathrm{Cok}(f_n),\overline{d}^B_n,\overline{\delta}^B_n)$ *which satisfies*

$$(5.3)\qquad (d^A_n\circ\pi_{f_{n+1}})\cdot(d^A_n)^{\sharp}_I=(\lambda_n\circ c(f_{n+1}))\cdot(f_n\circ\overline{\lambda}_n)\cdot(\pi_{f_n}\circ\overline{d}^B_n)\cdot(\overline{d}^B_n)^{\flat}_I$$

for each n.

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we obtain $\overline{d}^B_n$ and $\overline{\lambda}_n$ which satisfy (5.3). And by Proposition 5.5, for each n, there exists a unique 2-cell $\overline{\delta}^B_n\in\mathbf{S}^2(\overline{d}^B_{n-1}\circ\overline{d}^B_n,0)$ such that $((\delta^B_n\circ c(f_{n+1}))\cdot c(f_{n+1})^{\flat}_I=(d^B_{n-1}\circ\overline{\lambda}_n)\cdot(\overline{\lambda}_{n-1}\circ\overline{d}^B_n)\cdot(c(f_{n-1})\circ\overline{\delta}^B_n)\cdot c(f_{n-1})^{\sharp}_I$. By the uniqueness of $\overline{\beta}$ in Proposition 5.5, it is easy to see that

$$(\overline{\delta}^B_n\circ\overline{d}^B_{n+1})\cdot(\overline{d}^B_{n+1})^{\flat}_I=(\overline{d}^B_{n-1}\circ\overline{\delta}^B_{n+1})\cdot(\overline{d}^B_{n-1})^{\sharp}_I.$$

These are saying that $(\mathrm{Cok}(f_n),\overline{d}^B_n,\overline{\delta}^B_n)$ is a complex and $(c(f_n),\overline{\lambda}_n)$ is a complex morphism. □

Proposition 5.7. *Consider the following diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[d, "b"] \\
A_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

By taking the cokernels of f_1 *and* f_2*, we obtain*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "b"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda'"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}"] \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and from this diagram, by taking the cokernels of $a, b, \overline{b}$*, we obtain*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[d, "c(a)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] \arrow[d, "c(b)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}'"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\pi_{f_2}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2) \arrow[d, "c(\overline{b})"] \\
\mathrm{Cok}(a) \arrow[r, "\overline{f}_2"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(b) \arrow[r, "\overline{c(f_2)}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\pi}_{f_2}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(\overline{b})\ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have $\mathrm{Cok}(\overline{f}_2) = [\mathrm{Cok}(\overline{b}), \overline{c(f_2)}, \overline{\pi}_{f_2}]$*. We abbreviate this to* $\mathrm{Cok}(\overline{f}_2) = \mathrm{Cok}(\overline{b})$*.*

Proof. Left to the reader. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *In the following diagram, assume* $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \longrightarrow B_\bullet$ *is a complex morphism.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2\Downarrow"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta_2^B\Downarrow"] & B_3
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.4}$$

If we take the cokernels of d_1^A *and* d_1^B*,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1 \Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "c(d_1^A)"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_1 \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_1^A) \arrow[d, "\overline{f}_2"] \\
B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "c(d_1^B)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_1^B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

then by the universality of cokernel, we obtain $\overline{d}_2^A \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^A), A_3)$ *and* $\overline{\delta}_2^A \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(d_1^A)\circ\overline{d}_2^A, d_2^A)$ *such that* $(d_1^A\circ\overline{\delta}_2^A)\cdot\delta_2^A = (\pi_{d_1^A}\circ\overline{d}_2^A)\cdot(\overline{d}_2^A)_I^\flat$*. Similarly, we obtain* $\overline{d}_2^B \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^B), B_3)$*,* $\overline{\delta}_2^B \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(d_1^B)\circ\overline{d}_2^B, d_2^B)$ *with* $(d_1^B\circ\overline{\delta}_2^B)\cdot\delta_2^B = (\pi_{d_1^B}\circ\overline{d}_2^B)\cdot(\overline{d}_2^B)_I^\flat$*. Then, there exists a unique 2-cell* $\overline{\lambda}_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(\overline{d}_2^A\circ f_3, \overline{f}_2\circ\overline{d}_2^B)$ *such that* $(c(d_1^A)\circ\overline{\lambda}_2)\cdot(\overline{\lambda}_1\circ\overline{d}_2^B)\cdot(f_2\circ\overline{\delta}_2^B) = (\overline{\delta}_2^A\circ f_3)\cdot\lambda_2$*.*

Proof. If we put $\delta := (d_1^A\circ\lambda_2^{-1})\cdot(\delta_2^A\circ f_3)\cdot(f_3)_I^\flat$, then both the factorizations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\overline{\delta}_2^A\circ f_3)\cdot\lambda_2 \quad &: \quad c(d_1^A)\circ(\overline{d}_2^A\circ f_3) \Longrightarrow f_2\circ d_2^B \\
(\overline{\lambda}_1\circ\overline{d}_2^B)\cdot(f_2\circ\overline{\delta}_2^B) \quad &: \quad c(d_1^A)\circ(\overline{f}_2\circ\overline{d}_2^B) \Longrightarrow f_2\circ d_2^B
\end{aligned}$$

are compatible with $\pi_{d_1^A}$ and δ. So the proposition follows from the universality of $\mathrm{Cok}(d_2^A)$. □

Proposition 5.9. *In diagram (5.1), if we take the coimage factorization* $\mu_a : c(k(a))\circ j(a) \Longrightarrow a$ *and* $\mu_b : c(k(b))\circ j(b) \Longrightarrow b$*, then there exist* $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Coim}(a), \mathrm{Coim}(b))$*,* $\lambda_1 \in \mathbf{S}^2(f_1\circ c(k(b)), c(k(a))\circ f)$ *and* $\lambda_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(f\circ j(b), j(a)\circ f_2)$ *such that* $(f_1\circ\mu_b)\cdot\lambda = (\lambda_1\circ j(b))\cdot(c(k(a))\circ\lambda_2)\cdot(\mu_a\circ f_2)$*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, dashed, "c(k(a))"] \arrow[dd, bend right=70, "a"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_1"] & B_1 \arrow[d, dashed, "c(k(b))"] \arrow[dd, bend left=70, "b"] \\
\mathrm{Coim}(a) \arrow[r, dashed, "f"] \arrow[d, dashed, "j(a)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_2"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\overset{\mu_a}{\Leftarrow}"] & \mathrm{Coim}(b) \arrow[d, dashed, "j(b)"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\underset{\mu_b}{\Rightarrow}"] & {} \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"'] & B_2
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.5}$$

Moreover, for any other $(f', \lambda_1', \lambda_2')$ *with this property, there exists a unique 2-cell* $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(f, f')$ *such that* $\lambda_1\cdot(c(k(a))\circ\xi) = \lambda_1'$ *and* $(\xi\circ j(b))\cdot\lambda_2' = \lambda_2$*.*

Proof. Since the coimage factorization is unique up to an equivalence and is obtained by the factorization which fills in the following diagram, we may assume $\mathrm{Ker}(a) = [\mathrm{Ker}(a), k(a), \varepsilon_a]$, $\mathrm{Cok}(k(a)) = [\mathrm{Coim}(a), c(k(a)), \pi_{k(a)}]$, and

$(k(a) \circ \mu_a) \cdot \varepsilon_a = (\pi_{k(a)} \circ j(a)) \cdot j(a)^\flat_I$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Coim}(a) \arrow[dd, "\exists j(a)"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(a) \arrow[r, "k(a)"'] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\pi_{k(a)} \Uparrow"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \varepsilon_a"] & A_1 \arrow[ur, "c(k(a))"] \arrow[dr, "a"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\swarrow \exists \mu_a"] & \\
 & & A_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ker}(b) &= [\mathrm{Ker}(b), k(b), \varepsilon_b], \\
\mathrm{Cok}(k(b)) &= [\mathrm{Coim}(b), c(k(b)), \pi_{k(b)}]
\end{aligned}$$

and $(k(b) \circ \mu_b) \cdot \varepsilon_b = (\pi_{k(b)} \circ j(b)) \cdot j(b)^\flat_I$. By (the dual of) Proposition 5.4, there are $\underline{f}_1 \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(a), \mathrm{Ker}(b))$ and $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{f}_1 \circ k(b), k(a) \circ f_1)$ such that $(\underline{\lambda} \circ b) \cdot (k(a) \circ \lambda) \cdot (\varepsilon_a \circ f_2) \cdot (f_2)^\flat_I = (\underline{f}_1 \circ \varepsilon_b) \cdot (\underline{f}_1)^\sharp_I$. Applying Proposition 5.8, we can show the existence of $(f, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. To show the uniqueness (up to an equivalence), let $(f', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$ satisfy

$$(f_1 \circ \mu_b) \cdot \lambda = (\lambda'_1 \circ j(b)) \cdot (c(k(a)) \circ \lambda'_2) \cdot (\mu_a \circ f_2).$$

From this, we can obtain

$$(\underline{f}_1 \circ \pi_{k(b)}) \cdot (\underline{f}_1)^\sharp_I = (\underline{\lambda} \circ c(k(b))) \cdot (k(a) \circ \lambda'_1) \cdot (\pi_{k(a)} \circ f') \cdot f'^\flat_I.$$

And the uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of 2-cells in Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.8. □

Proposition 5.10. *Let $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \longrightarrow B_\bullet$ be a complex morphism as in diagram (5.4). If we take the cokernels of f_1, f_2, f_3 and relative cokernels of the complex $A_\bullet$ and $B_\bullet$ as in the following diagram, then we have* $\mathrm{Cok}(\overline{f}_3) = \mathrm{Cok}(\overline{d}^B_2, \overline{\delta}^B_2)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1 \Downarrow"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2 \Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "c(d_2^A{,}\delta_2^A)"] \arrow[d, "f_3"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\exists \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_2^A, \delta_2^A) \arrow[d, "\overline{f}_3"] \\
B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[d, "c(f_1)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_1 \Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[d, "c(f_2)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_2 \Downarrow"] & B_3 \arrow[r, "c(d_2^B{,}\delta_2^B)"'] \arrow[d, "c(f_3)"] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_2^B, \delta_2^B) \\
\mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\overline{\delta}_2^B \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_2^B"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Immediately follows from Proposition 5.7, Proposition 5.8 and (the dual of) Proposition 3.20. □

Proposition 5.11. *In diagram (5.1), if a is fully cofaithful, then the following diagram obtained in Proposition 5.4 is a pushout diagram.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "b"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \overline{\lambda}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{b}"] \\
B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Left to the reader. □

Concerning Proposition 3.32, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.12. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[r, "f'_1"] \arrow[d, "f'_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\xi \Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"'] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a pullback diagram in $\mathbf{S}$*. If f_1 is fully cofaithful, then f'_1 is fully cofaithful.*

Proof. Since f_1 is cofaithful, in the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.32, $\mathrm{Cok}(i_1) = [A_2, p_2, \xi_2]$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(d) = [B, t, \varepsilon_t]$. Applying Proposition 5.7 to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & A_1 \arrow[d, "i_1"] \\
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[r, "d"'] & A_1 \times A_2,
\end{tikzcd}$$

we obtain

$$\mathrm{Cok}(f_1) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Cok}(f'_1) = 0.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & A_1 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "i_1"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & A_1 \arrow[d, "f_1"] \\
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[r, dashed, "d"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, dashed, "t"] \arrow[d, dashed, "p_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & B \arrow[d, "0"] \\
A_1 \times_B A_2 \arrow[r, "f'_1"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Proposition 5.13. *In diagram (5.1), assume a is cofaithful. By Proposition 5.9, we obtain a coimage factorization diagram as (5.5). If we take the cokernel of this diagram as*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "c(k(b))"'] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "b"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda_1}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "\overline{c(k(b))}"] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "\overline{b}"] \\
\overset{\mu_b}{\Leftarrow}\mathrm{Coim}(b) \arrow[r, "c(f)"] \arrow[d, "j(b)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda_2}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f)\overset{\overline{\mu_b}}{\Rightarrow} \arrow[d, "\overline{j(b)}"] \\
B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2),
\end{tikzcd}$$

then the factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dr, "\overline{j(b)}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overline{\mu_b}\Downarrow"] & \\
\mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[ur, "\overline{c(k(b))}"] \arrow[rr, "\overline{b}"'] & {} & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

becomes again a coimage factorization.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\overline{c(k(b))}$ is cofaithful and $\overline{j(b)}$ is fully faithful. Since $c(k(b))$ and $c(f)$ are cofaithful, it follows that $\overline{c(k(b))}$ is cofaithful. Since $j(a)$ is an equivalence,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Coim}(b) \arrow[r, "c(f)"] \arrow[d, "j(b)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda_2}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[d, "\overline{j(b)}"] \\
B_2 \arrow[r, "c(f_2)"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a pushout diagram by Proposition 5.11. By (the dual of) Proposition 5.12, $\overline{j(b)}$ becomes fully faithful. ☐

Definition of the relative 2-exactness.

Lemma 5.14. *Consider the following diagram in* **S**.

(5.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & B \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\varphi\Downarrow"] & C \\
 & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we factor it as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[dr, "k(g)"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\underline{\varphi}\Downarrow"] & & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dr, "\overline{g}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\varphi}"] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "\underline{f}"] \arrow[rr, "f"'] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"', "\varphi\Downarrow"] & & B \arrow[ur, "c(f)"] \arrow[rr, "g"'] & & C
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
(\underline{\varphi} \circ g) \cdot \varphi &= (\underline{f} \circ \varepsilon_g) \cdot (\underline{f})^{\sharp}_{I} \\
(f \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varphi &= (\pi_f \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (\overline{g})^{\flat}_{I},
\end{aligned}$$

then $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) = 0$ *if and only if* $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}) = 0$.

Proof. We show only $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}) = 0$, since the other implication can be shown dually. If $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) = 0$, i.e. if $\underline{f}$ is fully cofaithful, then we have

$$\mathrm{Cok}(f) = \mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f} \circ k(g)) = \mathrm{Cok}(k(g)) = \mathrm{Coim}(g).$$

Thus the following diagram is a coimage factorization, and $\overline{g}$ becomes fully faithful.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dr, "\overline{g}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\varphi}"] & \\
B \arrow[ur, "c(f)"] \arrow[rr, "g"'] & & C
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Definition 5.15. Diagram (5.6) is said to be 2-exact in B, if $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) = 0$ (or equivalently $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}) = 0$).

Remark 5.16. In the notation of Lemma 5.14, the following are equivalent :
(i) (5.6) is 2-exact in B.
(ii) $\underline{f}$ is fully cofaithful.
(iii) $\overline{g}$ is fully faithful.
(iv) $c(f) = \mathrm{cok}(k(g))$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = \mathrm{Coim}(g)$).
(v) $k(g) = \ker(c(f))$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Ker}(g) = \mathrm{Im}(f)$).

Proof. By the duality, we only show (i) ⇔ (iii) ⇔ (v).
(i) ⇔ (iii) follows from Corollary 3.25.
(iii) ⇒ (v) follows from Proposition 3.21.
(v) ⇒ (iii) follows from Proposition 4.3. □

Let us fix the notation for relative (co-)kernels of a complex.

Definition 5.17. For any complex $A_\bullet = (A_n, d_n, \delta_n)$ in $\mathbf{S}$, we put
(1) $[Z^n(A_\bullet), z_n^A, \zeta_n^A] := \mathrm{Ker}(d_n, \delta_{n+1})$.
(2) $[Q^n(A_\bullet), q_n^A, \rho_n^A] := \mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1})$.

Remark 5.18. By the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(d_n, \delta_{n+1})$ and Lemma 3.19, there exist $k_n \in \mathbf{S}^1(A_{n-1}, Z^n(A_\bullet))$, $\nu_{n,1} \in \mathbf{S}^2(k_n \circ z_n, d_{n-1})$ and $\nu_{n,2} \in \mathbf{S}^2(d_{n-2} \circ k_n, 0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu_{n,1} \circ d_n) \cdot \delta_n &= (k_n \circ \zeta_n) \cdot (k_n)_I^\sharp \\ (d_{n-2} \circ \nu_{n,1}) \cdot \delta_{n-1} &= (\nu_{n,2} \circ z_n) \cdot (z_n)_I^\flat.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "z_n"] \arrow[drrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\nu_{n,1}\Downarrow"] & & & & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[urrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, "d_{n-2}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Uparrow\nu_{n,2}"] & & A_{n-1} \arrow[ur, "k_n"] \arrow[rr, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta_{n-1}\Downarrow"] & & A_n \arrow[rr, "d_n"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\delta_n"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\zeta_n\Uparrow"] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n+1}"] & & A_{n+2} \\
& & ~ & & ~ & & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand, by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(d_n)$, we obtain a factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & ~ & & ~ & & & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[rr, "d_{n-2}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[ddrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\underline{\delta}_{n-1}\Swarrow"'] & & A_{n-1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta_{n-1}\Uparrow"] \arrow[ddr, "\underline{d}_{n-1}"'] & & A_n \arrow[rr, "d_n"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\delta_n"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Searrow\varepsilon_{d_n}"] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n+1}"'] & & A_{n+2} \\
& & & \Uparrow\underline{\delta}_n & & & & & \\
& & & \mathrm{Ker}(d_n) \arrow[uur, "k(d_n)"'] \arrow[uurrr, bend right, "0"'] & & & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\delta}_n \circ d_n) \cdot \delta_n &= (\underline{d}_{n-1} \circ \varepsilon_{d_n}) \cdot (\underline{d}_{n-1})_I^\sharp \\ (d_{n-2} \circ \underline{\delta}_n) \cdot \delta_{n-1} &= (\underline{\delta}_{n-1} \circ k(d_n)) \cdot (k(d_n))_I^\flat\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.20, there exists a factorization of z_n through $\mathrm{Ker}(d_n)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dd, "\underline{z}_n"'] \arrow[dr, "z_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dr, phantom, shift left=4, "\zeta_n\Nearrow"] & & \\
\underline{\zeta}_n\Nearrow & A_n \arrow[r, "d_n"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Searrow\varepsilon_{d_n}"] & A_{n+1} \\
\mathrm{Ker}(d_n) \arrow[ur, "k(d_n)"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$(\underline{\zeta}_n \circ d_n) \cdot \zeta_n = (\underline{z}_n \circ \varepsilon_{d_n}) \cdot (\underline{z}_n)_I^\sharp.$$

Moreover $\underline{z}_n$ is fully faithful by Proposition 3.33.

By the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(d_n)$, we can show easily the following claim.

Claim 5.19. *There exists a unique 2-cell* $\widehat{\zeta}_n \in S(k_n \circ z_n, \underline{d}_{n-1})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dd, "\underline{z}_n" description] \arrow[dr, "z_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d_{n-2}" description] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & A_{n-1} \arrow[ur, "k_n"] \arrow[dr, "\underline{d}_{n-1}"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \nu_{n,2}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\underline{\delta}_{n-1} \Downarrow"] & \widehat{\zeta}_n \Downarrow \qquad \Uparrow \underline{\zeta}_n & A_n \arrow[r, "d_n" description] \arrow[u, phantom, "\zeta_n \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \varepsilon_{d_n}"] & A_{n+1} \\
& & \mathrm{Ker}(d_n) \arrow[ur, "k(d_n)"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

$$(\widehat{\zeta}_n \circ k(d_n)) \cdot \underline{\delta}_n = (k_n \circ \underline{\zeta}_n) \cdot \nu_{n,1}.$$

This $\widehat{\zeta}_n$ *also satisfies*

$$(d_{n-2} \circ \widehat{\zeta}_n) \cdot \underline{\delta}_{n-1} = (\nu_{n,2} \circ \underline{z}_n) \cdot (\underline{z}_n)^{\flat}_{I}.$$

Remark 5.20. Dually, by the universality of the cokernels, we obtain the following two factorization diagrams, where $\overline{q}_n$ is fully cofaithful.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\mu_{n,1} \Downarrow"] & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d_{n-2}"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d_{n-1}" description] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & A_n \arrow[rr, "d_n" description] \arrow[ur, "q_n"] \arrow[dr, "c(d_{n-1})"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \rho_n"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\pi_{d_{n-1}} \Downarrow"] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\mu_{n,2} \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \overline{\delta}_{n+1}"] & A_{n+2} \\
& & & \mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-1}) \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_n"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \overline{\delta}_n"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & A_n \arrow[ur, "q_n"] \arrow[dr, "c(d_{n-1})"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \rho_n"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\pi_{d_{n-1}} \Downarrow"] & \overline{\rho}_n \Uparrow \qquad \Downarrow \widehat{\rho}_n & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\mu_{n,2} \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \overline{\delta}_{n+1}"] & A_{n+2} \\
& & \mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-1}) \arrow[uu, "\overline{q}_n" description] \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_n"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define relative 2-cohomology in the following two ways, which will be shown to be equivalent later.

Definition 5.21.

$$\begin{aligned} H^n_1(A_\bullet) &:= \mathrm{Cok}(k_n, \nu_{n,2}) \\ H^n_2(A_\bullet) &:= \mathrm{Ker}(\ell_n, \mu_{n,2}) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.22. *In the factorization diagram (5.7) in Lemma 5.14, if we take the cokernel of $\underline{f}$ and the kernel of $\overline{g}$, then there exist $w \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}), \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}))$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(\underline{f}) \circ w \circ k(\overline{g}), k(g) \circ c(f))$ such that*

$$(\underline{f} \circ \omega) \cdot (\underline{\varphi} \circ c(f)) \cdot \pi_f = (\pi_{\underline{f}} \circ w \circ k(\overline{g})) \cdot (w \circ k(\overline{g}))^\flat_I \tag{5.8}$$

$$(\omega \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (k(g) \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varepsilon_g = (c(\underline{f}) \circ w \circ \varepsilon_{\overline{g}}) \cdot (c(\underline{f}) \circ w)^\sharp_I. \tag{5.9}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[rr, "w"] & & \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}) \arrow[dr, "k(\overline{g})"] \arrow[ddrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\varepsilon_{\overline{g}}"] & & \\
 & \mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[ur, "c(\underline{f})"] \arrow[drr, "k(g)"] \arrow[ul, phantom, "\pi_{\underline{f}}"] & & \arrow[d, phantom, "\omega\Downarrow"] & & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dr, "\overline{g}"] & \\
A \arrow[ur, "\underline{f}"] \arrow[rrr, "f"'] \arrow[uurr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rrrrrr, bend right, "0"'] & \arrow[u, phantom, "\underline{\varphi}\Downarrow"] & & B \arrow[urr, "c(f)"] \arrow[rrr, "g"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\varphi\Downarrow"] & & \arrow[u, phantom, "\overline{\varphi}\Downarrow"] & C \\
 & & & {} & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, for any other factorization (w', ω') with these properties, there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(w, w')$ such that $(c(\underline{f}) \circ \kappa \circ k(\overline{g})) \cdot \omega' = \omega$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 5.4 to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}_A"] \arrow[d, "\underline{f}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\varphi}^{-1}\Downarrow"] & A \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[r, "k(g)"'] & B,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.10}$$

we obtain $w_1 \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}), \mathrm{Cok}(f))$ and $\omega_1 \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(\underline{f}) \circ w_1, k(g) \circ c(f))$ which satisfy

$$(\underline{f} \circ \omega_1) \cdot (\underline{\varphi} \circ c(f)) \cdot \pi_f = (\pi_{\underline{f}} \circ w_1) \cdot (w_1)^\flat_I. \tag{5.11}$$

Then $(\omega_1 \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (k(g) \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varepsilon_g \in \mathbf{S}^2(c(\underline{f}) \circ w_1 \circ \overline{g}, 0)$ becomes compatible with $\pi_{\underline{f}}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\underline{f}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=35, "0"] & \mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[r, "c(\underline{f})"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=35, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=4, "\pi_{\underline{f}}\Uparrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[r, "w_1 \circ \overline{g}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow (\omega_1 \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (k(g) \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varepsilon_g"] & C \\
& & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 3.19, there exists a 2-cell $\delta \in S(w_1 \circ \overline{g}, 0)$ such that

$$(c(\underline{f}) \circ \delta) \cdot c(\underline{f})^{\sharp}_I = (\omega_1 \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (k(g) \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varepsilon_g.$$

So, if we take the cokernels of $k(g)$ and w_1, then by Proposition 5.8, we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[r, "k(g)"'] \arrow[d, "c(\underline{f})"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\Longrightarrow}{\omega_1}"] & B \arrow[r, "c(k(g))"] \arrow[rr, bend left=35, "g"] \arrow[d, dashed, "c(f)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\exists\overline{\varphi}_1}{\Longrightarrow}"] & \mathrm{Coim}(g) \arrow[r, "j(g)"] \arrow[d, "\exists\overline{c}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\exists\overline{\varphi}_2}{\Longrightarrow}"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\mu_g"] & C \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}_C"] \\
\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[r, "w_1"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[r, "c(w_1)"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=35, "\overline{g}"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(w_1) \arrow[r, "\exists g^{\dagger}"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\exists\Downarrow"] & C \\
& & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying Proposition 5.7 to (5.10), we obtain

$$[\mathrm{Cok}(w_1), \overline{c}, (\overline{c})^{\flat}_I] = \mathrm{Cok}(0 \xrightarrow{0} \mathrm{Coim}(g)).$$

Thus $\overline{c}$ is an equivalence. Since $j(g)$ is fully faithful, $g^{\dagger}$ becomes fully faithful. Thus the following diagram is 2-exact in $\mathrm{Cok}(f)$.

(5.12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[r, "w_1"] \arrow[rr, bend right=35, "0"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[r, "\overline{g}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta\Downarrow"] & C \\
& &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So if we factor (5.12) by $w \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}), \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}))$ and $\omega_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(w \circ k(\overline{g}), w_1)$ as in the diagram

(5.13)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g}) \arrow[dr, "k(\overline{g})"] \arrow[rd, phantom, shift right=6, "\Downarrow\omega_2"'] \arrow[drr, bend left=30, "0"] \arrow[drr, phantom, shift left=2, "\Nearrow \varepsilon_{\overline{g}}"] & & \\
\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[ur, "w"] \arrow[r, "w_1"'] \arrow[rrr, bend right=35, "0"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[rr, "\overline{g}"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta\Downarrow"] & & C \\
& & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$(w \circ \varepsilon_{\overline{g}}) \cdot w^{\sharp}_I = (\omega_2 \circ \overline{g}) \cdot \delta,$$

then w becomes fully cofaithful by Lemma 5.14. If we put $\omega := (c(\underline{f}) \circ \omega_2) \cdot \omega_1$, then (w, ω) satisfies conditions (5.8) and (5.9).

If (w', ω') satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{f} \circ \omega') \cdot (\underline{\varphi} \circ c(f)) \cdot \pi_f &= (\pi_{\underline{f}} \circ w' \circ k(\overline{g})) \cdot (w' \circ k(\overline{g}))^{\flat}_{I} \\ (\omega' \circ \overline{g}) \cdot (k(g) \circ \overline{\varphi}) \cdot \varepsilon_g &= (c(\underline{f}) \circ w' \circ \varepsilon_{\overline{g}}) \cdot (c(\underline{f}) \circ w')^{\sharp}_{I}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

then, since both the factorization of $k(g) \circ c(f)$ through $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f})$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' &: c(\underline{f}) \circ w' \circ k(\overline{g}) \Longrightarrow k(g) \circ c(f) \\ \omega_1 &: c(\underline{f}) \circ w_1 \Longrightarrow k(g) \circ c(f) \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with $\pi_{\underline{f}}$ and $(\underline{\varphi} \circ c(f)) \cdot \pi_f$ by (5.11) and (5.14), there exists $\omega_2' \in \mathbf{S}^2(w' \circ k(\overline{g}), w_1)$ such that

$$(c(\underline{f}) \circ \omega_2') \cdot \omega_1 = \omega'.$$

Then we can see ω_2' is compatible with $\varepsilon_{\overline{g}}$ and δ. So, comparing this with the factorization (5.13), by the universality of $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g})$, we see there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(w, w')$ such that $(\kappa \circ k(\overline{g})) \cdot \omega_2' = \omega_2$. Then κ satisfies $(c(\underline{f}) \circ \kappa \circ k(\overline{g})) \cdot \omega' = \omega$. Uniqueness of such κ follows from the fact that $c(\underline{f})$ is cofaithful and $k(\overline{g})$ is faithful. □

Proposition 5.23. *In Lemma 5.22, w is an equivalence.*

Proof. We showed Lemma 5.22 by taking the cokernel first and the kernel second, but we obtain the same (w, ω) if we take the kernel first and the cokernel second, because of the symmetricity of the statement (and the uniqueness of (w, ω) up to an equivalence) of Lemma 5.22. As shown in the proof, since (5.12) is 2-exact in $\mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f})$, w becomes fully cofaithful in the factorization (5.13). By the above remark, similarly w can be obtained also by the factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(\underline{f}) \arrow[dr, "w"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & \\
A \arrow[r] \arrow[rrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rrr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(g) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr] & {} & \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{g})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom row is 2-exact in $\mathrm{Ker}(g)$. So w becomes fully faithful. Thus, w is fully cofaithful and fully faithful, i.e. an equivalence. □

Corollary 5.24. *For any complex $A_\bullet=(A_n,d_n,\delta_n)$, if we factor it as*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & H_1^n(A_\bullet) & & H_2^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[d, "k(\ell_n{,}\mu_{n,2})"] \arrow[ddr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
 & & Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[u, "c(k_n{,}\nu_{n,2})"'] \arrow[dr, "z_n"] & & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_n"] \arrow[ddrr, phantom, ""] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d_{n-2}"'] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d_{n-1}"'] \arrow[ur, "k_n"] \arrow[uur, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"'] & & A_n \arrow[r, "d_n"'] \arrow[ur, "q_n"] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+2}"'] & A_{n+2}
\arrow[from=3-1, to=2-3, phantom, "\nu_{n,2}\Uparrow"]
\arrow[from=3-2, to=1-3, phantom, "\Leftarrow"]
\arrow[from=2-3, to=3-4, phantom, "\Downarrow\nu_{n,1}"]
\arrow[from=3-4, to=2-5, phantom, "\mu_{n,1}\Downarrow"]
\arrow[from=1-5, to=3-6, phantom, "\Rightarrow"]
\arrow[from=2-5, to=3-7, phantom, "\Uparrow\mu_{n,2}"]
\arrow[from=3-2, to=3-6, phantom, bend right=15, "\delta_n^A\Downarrow"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(*in the notation of Definition 5.17, Remark 5.18 and Remark 5.20*)*, then there exist $w\in\mathbf{S}^1(H_1^n(A_\bullet),H_2^n(A_\bullet))$ and $\omega\in\mathbf{S}^2(c(k_n,\nu_{n,2})\circ w\circ k(\ell_n,\mu_{n,2}),z_n\circ q_n)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(k_n\circ\omega)\cdot(\nu_{n,1}\circ q_n)\cdot\rho_n &= (\pi_{(k_n,\nu_{n,2})}\circ w\circ k(\ell_n,\mu_{n,2}))\cdot(w\circ k(\ell_n,\mu_{n,2}))_I^\flat \\
(\omega\circ\ell_n)\cdot(z_n\circ\mu_{n,1})\cdot\zeta_n &= (c(k_n,\nu_{n,2})\circ w\circ\varepsilon_{(\ell_n,\mu_{n,2})})\cdot(c(k_n,\nu_{n,2})\circ w)_I^\sharp.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & H_1^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[rr, "w"] & & H_2^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[d, "k(\ell_n{,}\mu_{n,2})"] \arrow[ddr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
 & & Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[u, "c(k_n{,}\nu_{n,2})"'] \arrow[dr, "z_n"] \arrow[rr, phantom, "\omega\Downarrow"] & & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d_{n-2}"'] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d_{n-1}"'] \arrow[ur, "k_n"] \arrow[uur, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"'] & & A_n \arrow[r, "d_n"'] \arrow[ur, "q_n"] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+2}"'] & A_{n+2}
\arrow[from=3-1, to=2-3, phantom, "\nu_{n,2}\Uparrow"]
\arrow[from=3-2, to=1-3, phantom, "\Leftarrow"]
\arrow[from=2-3, to=3-4, phantom, "\Downarrow\nu_{n,1}"]
\arrow[from=3-4, to=2-5, phantom, "\mu_{n,1}\Downarrow"]
\arrow[from=1-5, to=3-6, phantom, "\Rightarrow"]
\arrow[from=2-5, to=3-7, phantom, "\Uparrow\mu_{n,2}"]
\arrow[from=3-2, to=3-6, phantom, bend right=15, "\delta_n^A\Downarrow"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any other factorization (w',ω') with these conditions, there exists a unique 2-cell $\kappa\in\mathbf{S}^2(\omega,\omega')$ such that $(c(k_n,\nu_{n,2})\circ\kappa\circ k(\ell_n,\mu_{n,2}))\cdot\omega'=\omega$. Moreover, this w becomes an equivalence.

Proof. For the factorization diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & {} & & {} & & \\
A_{n-2} \arrow[rr, "d_{n-2}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drrr, bend right, "0"'] & & A_{n-1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dr, "c(d_{n-2})"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta_{n-1}\Uparrow"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\pi_{d_{n-2}}\Swarrow"] & {} & A_n \arrow[rr, "d_n"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\delta_n"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Searrow\overline{\delta}_n"] & {} & A_{n+1} \\
& {} & & \mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-2}) \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_{n-1}"'] \arrow[urrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\overline{\delta}_{n-1}"] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & {} & & {} & & \\
A_{n-1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drrr, bend right, "0"'] & & A_{n} \arrow[rr, "d_{n}"] \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[dr, "\underline{d}_n"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta_{n}\Uparrow"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\underline{\delta}_n\Swarrow"] & {} & A_{n+1} \arrow[rr, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\delta_{n+1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Searrow\varepsilon_{d_{n+1}}"] & {} & A_{n+2} \\
& {} & & \mathrm{Ker}(d_{n+1}) \arrow[ur, "k(d_{n+1})"'] \arrow[urrr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\underline{\delta}_{n+1}"] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_{n-2} \circ \overline{\delta}_{n-1}) \cdot \delta_{n-1} &= (\pi_{d_{n-2}} \circ \overline{d}_{n-1}) \cdot (\overline{d}_{n-1})^\flat_I \\
(\overline{\delta}_{n-1} \circ d_n) \cdot \delta_n &= (c(d_{n-2}) \circ \overline{\delta}_n) \cdot c(d_{n-2})^\sharp_I \\
(\underline{\delta}_{n+1} \circ d_{n+1}) \cdot \delta_{n+1} &= (\underline{d}_n \circ \varepsilon_{d_{n+1}}) \cdot (\underline{d}_n)^\sharp_I \\
(d_{n-1} \circ \underline{\delta}_{n+1}) \cdot \delta_n &= (\underline{\delta}_n \circ k(d_{n+1})) \cdot k(d_{n+1})^\flat_I,
\end{aligned}$$

there exists a unique 2-cell $\delta_n^\dagger \in \mathbf{S}^2(\overline{d}_{n-1} \circ \underline{d}_n, 0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_n^\dagger \circ k(d_{n+1})) \cdot k(d_{n+1})^\flat_I &= (\overline{d}_{n-1} \circ \underline{\delta}_{n+1}) \cdot \overline{\delta}_n \\
(c(d_{n-2}) \circ \delta_n^\dagger) \cdot c(d_{n-2})^\sharp_I &= (\overline{\delta}_{n-1} \circ \underline{d}_n) \cdot \underline{\delta}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.20, applying Lemma 5.22 and Proposition 5.23 to the following diagram, we can obtain Corollary 5.24.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Z^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "z_n"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & \\
\mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-2}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, "\overline{d}_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rrrr, bend right, "0"'] & {} & A_n \arrow[ur, "q_n"] \arrow[rr, "\underline{d}_n"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta_n^\dagger\Downarrow"] & {} & \mathrm{Ker}(d_{n+1}) \\
& & {} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Thus $H_1^n(A_\bullet)$ and $H_2^n(A_\bullet)$ are equivalent. We abbreviate this to $H^n(A_\bullet)$.

Definition 5.25. A complex $A_\bullet$ is said to be relatively 2-exact in A_n if $H^n(A_\bullet)$ is equivalent to zero.

Remark 5.26. If the complex is bounded, we consider the relative 2-exactness after adding zeroes as in Remark 5.2. For example, a bounded complex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\varphi"] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] & C
\end{tikzcd}$$

is relatively 2-exact in B if and only if

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "f_I^\flat\Downarrow"] & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\varphi"] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "g_I^\sharp\Downarrow"] & C \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is relatively 2-exact in B, and this is equivalent to the 2-exactness in B by Remark 3.18.

6. Long cohomology sequence in a relatively exact 2-category

Diagram lemmas (2).

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $A_\bullet$ *be a complex in* $\mathbf{S}$*, in which* $A_5 = 0$ *and* $d_4 = 0$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow\delta_3^A"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "d_3^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "(d_3^A)_I^\sharp\Uparrow"] & A_4 \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.1}$$

Then, (6.1) is relatively 2-exact in A_3 *and* A_4 *if and only if* $\operatorname{Cok}(d_2, \delta_2) = A_4$*, i.e.* $[Q^3(A_\bullet), q_3, \rho_3] = [A_4, d_3, \delta_3]$.

Proof. As in Remark 5.20, we have two factorization diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q^3(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_3"] & \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[urr, phantom, "\Uparrow\rho_3"] \arrow[drr, phantom, "\pi_{d_2}\Downarrow"'] & A_3 \arrow[rr, "d_3"] \arrow[ur, "q_3"] \arrow[dr, "c(d_2)"'] & & A_4 \\
 & & \operatorname{Cok}(d_2) \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_3"'] &
\arrow[from=1-3, to=2-4, phantom, "\mu_{3,1}\Downarrow"']
\arrow[from=3-3, to=2-4, phantom, "\Uparrow\overline{\delta}_3"]
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q^3(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "\ell_3"] & \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[urr, phantom, "\Uparrow\rho_3"] \arrow[drr, phantom, "\pi_{d_2}\Downarrow"'] & A_3 \arrow[ur, "q_3"] \arrow[dr, "c(d_2)"'] & & A_4 \\
 & & \operatorname{Cok}(d_2) \arrow[uu, "\overline{q}_3"] \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_3"'] &
\arrow[from=2-2, to=2-4, phantom, "\overline{\rho}_3\Uparrow\quad\Downarrow\widehat{\rho}_3"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{q}_3$ is fully cofaithful. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(6.1) is relatively 2-exact in } A_4 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Cok}(d_3, \delta_3) = 0 \\
&\underset{\text{Prop. 3.20}}{\Leftrightarrow} \quad \operatorname{Cok}(\overline{d}_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Cok}(\overline{q}_3 \circ \ell_3) = 0 \\
&\underset{\text{Prop. 3.21}}{\Leftrightarrow} \quad \operatorname{Cok}(\ell_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \ell_3 \text{ is fully cofaithful}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(6.1) is relatively 2-exact in } A_3 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Ker}(\ell_3, (\ell_3)^{\sharp}_I) = 0 \\
&\underset{\text{Rem. 3.18}}{\Leftrightarrow} \quad \operatorname{Ker}(\ell_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \ell_3 \text{ is fully faithful.}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (6.1) is relatively 2-exact in A_3 and A_4 if and only if ℓ is fully cofaithful and fully faithful, i.e. ℓ is an equivalence. □

By Remark 3.18, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 6.2. *Let* (A_n, d_n, δ_n) *be a bounded complex in* $\mathbf{S}$, *as follows*:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A_2 \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"] \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow (d_2^A)^{\sharp}_I"] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.2}$$

Then, (*6.2*) *is relatively 2-exact in* A_2 *and* A_3 *if and only if* $\operatorname{Cok}(d_1^A) = [A_3, d_2^A, \delta_2^A]$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let* $A_\bullet$ *be a complex. As in Definition 5.17, Remark 5.18 and Remark 5.20, take a factorization diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet) \arrow[dr, "z_{n+1}"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \nu_{n+1,1}"] & & \\
A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Uparrow \nu_{n+1,2}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \rho_n"] & A_n \arrow[ur, "k_{n+1}"] \arrow[rr, "d_n"] \arrow[dr, "q_n"'] & & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Uparrow \zeta_{n+1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \mu_{n,2}"] & A_{n+2} \\
 & & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[ur, "\ell_n"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \mu_{n,1}"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu_{n+1,1} \circ d_{n+1}) \cdot \delta_{n+1} &= (k_{n+1} \circ \zeta_{n+1}) \cdot (k_{n+1})^{\sharp}_I \\
(d_{n-1} \circ \nu_{n+1,1}) \cdot \delta_n &= (\nu_{n+1,2} \circ z_{n+1}) \cdot (z_{n+1})^{\flat}_I \\
(d_{n-1} \circ \mu_{n,1}) \cdot \delta_n &= (\rho_n \circ \ell_n) \cdot (\ell_n)^{\flat}_I \\
(\mu_{n,1} \circ d_{n+1}) \cdot \delta_{n+1} &= (q_n \circ \mu_{n,2}) \cdot (q_n)^{\sharp}_I.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, there exist $x_n \in \mathbf{S}^1(Q^n(A_\bullet), Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet))$, $\xi_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(x_n \circ z_{n+1}, \ell_n)$ *and* $\eta_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(q_n \circ x_n, k_{n+1})$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_n \circ d_{n+1}) \cdot \mu_{n,2} &= (x_n \circ \zeta_{n+1}) \cdot (x_n)^\sharp_I \\ (q_n \circ \xi_n) \cdot \mu_{n,1} &= (\eta_n \circ z_{n+1}) \cdot \nu_{n+1,1} \\ (d_{n-1} \circ \eta_n) \cdot \nu_{n+1,2} &= (\rho_n \circ x_n) \cdot (x_n)^\flat_I. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Moreover, for any other (x'_n, ξ'_n, η'_n) *with these properties, there exists a unique 2-cell* $\kappa \in \mathbf{S}^2(x_n, x'_n)$ *such that* $(\kappa \circ z_{n+1}) \cdot \xi'_n = \xi_n$ *and* $(q_n \circ \kappa) \cdot \eta'_n = \eta_n$*.*

Proof. By the cofaithfulness of q_n, we can show $\mu_{n,2}$ is compatible with δ_{n+2}. By the universality of the relative kernel $Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet)$, there exist $x_n \in \mathbf{S}^1(Q^n(A_\bullet), Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet))$ and $\xi_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(x_n \circ z_{n+1}, \ell_n)$ such that

$$(\xi_n \circ d_{n+1}) \cdot \mu_{n,2} = (x_n \circ \zeta_{n+1}) \cdot (x_n)^\sharp_I.$$

Then, both the factorizations

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{n+1,1} &: k_{n+1} \circ z_{n+1} \Longrightarrow d_n \\ (q_n \circ \xi_n) \cdot \mu_{n,1} &: q_n \circ x_n \circ z_{n+1} \Longrightarrow d_n \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with ζ_{n+1} and δ_{n+1}. Thus by the universality of relative kernel $Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet)$, there exists a unique 2-cell $\eta_n \in \mathbf{S}^2(q_n \circ x_n, k_{n+1})$ such that $(q_n \circ \xi_n) \cdot \mu_{n,1} = (\eta_n \circ z_{n+1}) \cdot \nu_{n+1,1}$. It can be easily seen that η_n also satisfies (6.3). Uniqueness (up to an equivalence) of (x_n, ξ_n, η_n) follows from the universality of the relative kernel $Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet)$ and the uniqueness of η_n. □

Lemma 6.4. *Consider the following complex diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0", "\Uparrow\varphi"'] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] & C \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.4}$$

If (6.4) is 2-exact in B *and* g *is cofaithful, then we have* $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = [C, g, \varphi]$*.*

Proof. If we factor (6.4) as

$$\begin{tikzcd} & & \mathrm{Cok}(f) \arrow[dd, "\overline{g}"] \\ A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[urr, bend left=30, "0", "\Uparrow\pi_f"'] \arrow[drr, bend right=30, "0"', "\varphi\Downarrow"] & B \arrow[ur, "c(f)"] \arrow[dr, "g"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Swarrow\overline{\varphi}"] & {} \\ & & C \end{tikzcd} ,$$

then, since (6.4) is 2-exact in B, $\overline{g}$ becomes fully faithful. On the other hand, since g is cofaithful, $\overline{g}$ is also cofaithful. Thus $\overline{g}$ becomes an equivalence. □

Lemma 6.5. *Consider the following complex morphism in* $\mathbf{S}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=45, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\kappa\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_3"] & 0 \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=45, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\delta_2^B\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

If the complexes are relatively 2-exact in A_2, A_3 *and* B_2, B_3 *respectively, i.e. they satisfy* $\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^A) = [A_3, d_2^A, \delta_2^A]$ *and* $\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^B) = [B_3, d_2^B, \delta_2^B]$ (*see Corollary 6.2*), *then the following diagram obtained by taking the kernel of* f_2 *becomes 2-exact in* A_3.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)\circ d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=35, "0"] & A_3 \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow"] \arrow[r, "f_3"'] \arrow[dr, dotted, no head] & B_3 \\
 & & (k(f_2)\circ\kappa)\cdot(\varepsilon_{f_2}\circ d_2^B)\cdot(d_2^B)^{\flat}_{I}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. By taking the kernels of id_{A_1} and f_2 in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] & B_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

and taking the cokernels of $0_{0,A_1}$ and $k(f_2)$, we obtain the following diagram by Proposition 5.8, where $\theta = k(f_2)^{\flat}_{I} \cdot (d_1^A)^{\flat-1}_{I}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\Rrightarrow}{\theta}"] & A_1 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "d_1^A"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\Rrightarrow}{\lambda_1}"] & A_1 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{d}_1^A"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\Rrightarrow}{\lambda_2}"] & A_1 \arrow[d, "d_1^B"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "c(k(f_2))"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "f_2"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\mu_{f_2}\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Coim}(f_2) \arrow[r, "j(f_2)"'] & B_2
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.6}$$

By taking the cokernels of $0_{0,\mathrm{Ker}(f_2)}$, d_1^A and d_1^B in (6.6), we obtain the left of the following diagrams, while by Proposition 5.13 we obtain the right as a coimage factorization if we take the cokernels of d_1^A, $\overline{d}_1^A$ and d_1^B in (6.6):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\varepsilon_{f_2}\Uparrow"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\kappa}{\Rightarrow}"] & B_2 \arrow[d, "d_2^B"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)\circ d_2^A"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & A_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow" description] & B_3 \\
& (k(f_2)\circ\kappa)\cdot(\varepsilon_{f_2}\circ d_2^B)\cdot(d_2^B)^\flat_I &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_2 \arrow[r, "c(k(f_2))"] \arrow[d, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\kappa_1}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathrm{Coim}(f_2) \arrow[r, "j(f_2)"] \arrow[d, "\overline{d}_2^A"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\mu_{f_2}\Uparrow"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\kappa_2}{\Rightarrow}"] & B_2 \arrow[d, "d_2^B"] \\
A_3 \arrow[r, "c(k(f_3))"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "f_3"'] & \mathrm{Coim}(f_3) \arrow[r, "j(f_3)"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\mu_{f_3}"] & B_3 \\
& &
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand by Proposition 5.7, if we take the compatible 2-cell $\upsilon = (k(f_2)\circ\kappa_1)\cdot(\pi_{k(f_2)}\circ\overline{d}_2^A)\cdot(\overline{d}_2^A)^\flat_I \in \mathbf{S}^2(k(f_2)\circ d_2^A\circ c(k(f_3)),0)$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "c(k(f_2))"] \arrow[d, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\pi_{k(f_2)}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underset{\kappa_1}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathrm{Coim}(f_2) \arrow[d, "\overline{d}_2^A"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)\circ d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & A_3 \arrow[r, "c(k(f_3))"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\upsilon\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Coim}(f_3) \\
& &
\end{tikzcd}$$

then we have $\mathrm{Cok}(k(f_2)\circ d_2^A) = [\mathrm{Coim}(f_3), c(k(f_3)), \upsilon]$. It can be easily shown that υ is compatible with μ_{f_3} and $(k(f_2)\circ\kappa)\cdot(\varepsilon_{f_2}\circ d_2^B)\cdot(d_2^B)^\flat_I$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathrm{Coim}(f_3) \arrow[dd, "j(f_3)"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2)\circ d_2^A"'] \arrow[urr, bend left=30, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right=30, "0"'] & A_3 \arrow[ur, "c(k(f_3))"] \arrow[dr, "f_3"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\upsilon\Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\swarrow\mu_{f_3}"] & \\
(k(f_2)\circ\kappa)\cdot(\varepsilon_{f_2}\circ d_2^B)\cdot(d_2^B)^\flat_I & & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Cok}(k(f_2)\circ d_2^A) = [\mathrm{Coim}(f_3), c(k(f_3)), \upsilon]$ and $j(f_3)$ is fully faithful by Proposition 4.4, this means (6.5) is 2-exact in A_3. $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *Consider the following complex morphism in* **S**.

(6.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\delta_2^B\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

If the complexes are relatively 2-exact in A_2 *and* B_1, B_2 *respectively, then the following diagram obtained by taking the kernels*

(6.8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=30, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=15, "\Uparrow\underline{\delta}_2^A"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_2^A"'] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-exact in Ker(f_2).

Proof. If we decompose (6.7) into

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^{A\dagger}"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1^{\dagger}\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(d_2^A) \arrow[d, "\underline{f}_2"] & & \mathrm{Ker}(d_2^A) \arrow[r, "k(d_2^A)"] \arrow[d, "\underline{f}_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_1\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^{B\dagger}"'] & \mathrm{Ker}(d_2^B) & \text{and} & \mathrm{Ker}(d_2^B) \arrow[r, "k(d_2^B)"'] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3,
\end{tikzcd}$$

then by (the dual of) Proposition 5.7, we have Ker($\underline{d}_2^A$) = Ker($\underline{f}_2$). Since $d_1^{B\dagger}$ is an equivalence by (the dual of) Corollary 6.2, the diagram obtained by taking the kernels of f_1 and $\underline{f}_2$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_1^{A\dagger}"] \arrow[d, "k(f_1)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_1^{\dagger}\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(\underline{f}_2) \arrow[d, "k(\underline{f}_2)"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^{A\dagger}"'] & \mathrm{Ker}(d_2^A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

becomes a pullback diagram by (the dual of) Proposition 5.11. Since $d_1^{A\dagger}$ is fully cofaithful, $\underline{d}_1^{A\dagger}$ becomes also fully cofaithful by Proposition 5.12. This means (6.8) is 2-exact in Ker(f_2). □

Lemma 6.7. *Consider the following complex morphism in* $\mathbf{S}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\delta_2^B\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.9}$$

If f_3 is faithful and the bottom row is 2-exact in A_2, then the top row is also 2-exact in A_2.

Proof. By taking the cokernels of d_1^A and d_1^B in (6.9), we obtain (by Proposition 5.8)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^A) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
\mathrm{Cok}(d_1^B) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_2^B"'] & B_3 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\overline{d}_2^B$ is fully faithful, by taking the kernels in this diagram, we obtain the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\exists\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{d}_2^A) \arrow[r, "k(\overline{d}_2^A)"] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\exists\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_1^A) \arrow[r, dashed, "\overline{d}_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(d_1^B) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_2^B"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this diagram, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{d}_2^A) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{d}_2^A) \longrightarrow 0) \underset{\text{Prop. 5.7}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f_3)) \underset{\text{Cor. 3.28}}{=} 0.$$

This means that the top row in (6.9) is 2-exact in A_2. □

Corollary 6.8. *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\delta_2^A\Uparrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] & A_3 & \text{and} & B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\delta_2^B\Uparrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

be two complexes, and assume that there exist 1-cells f_1, f_3 and 2-cells $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \sigma$ as in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[dr, "d_1^B"] \arrow[dd, "f_1"'] & & A_3 \arrow[dd, "f_3"] \\
{} \arrow[r, phantom, "\lambda_1 \Nearrow"] & A_2 \arrow[ur, "d_2^A"] \arrow[dr, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\sigma \Downarrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Swarrow \lambda_2"] & {} \\
A_1 \arrow[ur, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & {} & B_3,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f_1 is cofaithful and f_3 is faithful. Assume they satisfy

$$\text{(d1)} \qquad (\lambda_1 \circ d_2^B) \cdot \delta_2^B = (f_1 \circ \sigma) \cdot (f_1)_I^\sharp$$

$$\text{(d2)} \qquad (d_1^A \circ \lambda_2) \cdot \sigma = (\delta_2^A \circ f_3) \cdot (f_3)_I^\flat.$$

Then, if the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta_2^B \Uparrow"] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-exact in A_2, then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta_2^A \Uparrow"] & A_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

is also 2-exact in A_2.

Proof. This follows if we apply Lemma 6.7 and its dual to the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1^{-1} \Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \delta_2^B"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & B_3 \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\sigma \Downarrow"] & B_3,
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_2 \Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\sigma \Downarrow"] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

By Corollary 6.8, it can be shown that the 2-exactness plus compatibility implies the relative 2-exactness (see [7] in the case of SCG):

Corollary 6.9. *Let* $A_\bullet = (A_n, d_n, \delta_n)$ *be a complex in* $\mathbf{S}$*. If*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A_n \arrow[r, "d^A_n"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\delta^A_n \Uparrow"] & A_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-exact in A_n*, then* $A_\bullet$ *is relatively 2-exact in* A_n*.*

Proof. This follows immediately if we apply Corollary 6.8 to the following diagram (see the proof of Corollary 5.24):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{n-1} \arrow[dr, "d_{n-1}"] \arrow[dd, "c(d_{n-2})"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overline{\delta}_{n-1} \Nearrow"] & & \mathrm{Ker}(d_{n+1}) \arrow[dd, "k(d_{n+1})"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Swarrow \underline{\delta}_{n+1}"] \\
 & A_n \arrow[ur, "\underline{d}_n"] \arrow[dr, "d_n"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overline{\delta}_n \Downarrow"] & \\
\mathrm{Cok}(d_{n-2}) \arrow[ur, "\overline{d}_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & & A_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Construction of the long cohomology sequence.

Definition 6.10. A complex in $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & B \arrow[r, "g"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \varphi"] & C
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.10}$$

is called an extension if it is relatively 2-exact in every 0-cell.

Remark 6.11. By Corollary 6.2 (and its dual), (6.10) is an extension if and only if $\mathrm{Ker}(g) = [A, f, \varphi]$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(f) = [C, g, \varphi]$.

Definition 6.12. Let $(f_\bullet, \lambda_\bullet) : A_\bullet \longrightarrow B_\bullet$ and $(g_\bullet, \kappa_\bullet) : B_\bullet \longrightarrow C_\bullet$ be complex morphisms and $\varphi_\bullet = \{\varphi_n : f_n \circ g_n \Longrightarrow 0\}$ be 2-cells. Then,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_\bullet \arrow[r, "f_\bullet"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & B_\bullet \arrow[r, "g_\bullet"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \varphi_\bullet"] & C_\bullet
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.11}$$

is said to be an extension of complexes if it satisfies the following properties:
(e1) For every n, the following complex is an extension:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "f_n"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & B_n \arrow[r, "g_n"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \varphi_n"] & C_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

(e2) $\varphi_\bullet$ satisfies

$$(\lambda_n \circ g_{n+1}) \cdot (f_n \circ \kappa_n) \cdot (\varphi_n \circ d_n^C) \cdot (d_n^C)^\flat_I = (d_n^A \circ \varphi_{n+1}) \cdot (d_n^A)^\sharp_I.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0", "\Uparrow\varphi_n"'] \arrow[d, "d_n^A"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_n}{\Longrightarrow}"] & B_n \arrow[r, "g_n"] \arrow[d, dashed, "d_n^B"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\kappa_n}{\Longrightarrow}"] & C_n \arrow[d, "d_n^C"] \\
A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "f_{n+1}"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"', "\varphi_{n+1}\Downarrow"] & B_{n+1} \arrow[r, "g_{n+1}"] & C_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 6.13. *For any extension of complexes in* $\mathbf{S}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_\bullet \arrow[r, "f_\bullet"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0", "\Uparrow\varphi_\bullet"'] & B_\bullet \arrow[r, "g_\bullet"'] & C_\bullet
\end{tikzcd},$$

we can construct a long 2-exact sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend left=30, "0", "\Uparrow"'] & H^n(B_\bullet) \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend right=30, "0"', "\Downarrow"] & H^n(C_\bullet) \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend left=30, "0", "\Uparrow"'] & H^{n+1}(A_\bullet) \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend right=30, "0"', "\Downarrow"] & H^{n+1}(B_\bullet) \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Caution 6.14. *This sequence is not necessarily a complex.* (*See Remark 6.19.*)

We prove this theorem in the rest of this section.

Lemma 6.15. *In the notation of Lemma 6.3, we have*
(1) $\mathrm{Ker}(x_n) = H^n(A_\bullet)$,
(2) $\mathrm{Cok}(x_n) = H^{n+1}(A_\bullet)$.

Proof. We only show (1), since (2) can be shown in the same way. In the notation of Lemma 6.3 and Remark 5.18, we can show that the factorization

$$(x_n \circ \underline{\zeta}_{n+1}) \cdot \xi_n : (x_n \circ \underline{z}_{n+1}) \circ k(d_{n+1}) \Longrightarrow \ell_n$$

is compatible with $\varepsilon_{d_{n+1}}$ and $\mu_{n,2}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dd, "x_n\circ\underline{z}_{n+1}"'] \arrow[dr, "\ell_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, phantom, "\Uparrow\mu_{n,2}"] & & \\
& A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d_{n+1}"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=4ex, "\Downarrow\varepsilon_{d_{n+1}}"] & A_{n+2} \\
\mathrm{Ker}(d_{n+1}) \arrow[ur, "k(d_{n+1})"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[from=3-1, to=1-2, phantom, "\Nearrow\ (x_n\circ\underline{\zeta}_{n+1})\cdot\xi_n"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So, by Proposition 3.20, Proposition 3.21 and the fact that $\underline{z}_{n+1}$ is fully faithful, we have $H^n(A_\bullet) = \mathrm{Ker}(\ell_n, \mu_{n,2}) = \mathrm{Ker}(x_n \circ \underline{z}_{n+1}) = \mathrm{Ker}(x_n)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.16. *For any extension* (*6.11*) *of complexes in* $\mathbf{S}$, *we can construct a complex morphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Q^n(f_\bullet)"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow Q^n(\varphi_\bullet)"] \arrow[d, "x_n^A"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\widetilde{\lambda}_n\Downarrow"] & Q^n(B_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Q^n(g_\bullet)"'] \arrow[d, "x_n^B"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\widetilde{\kappa}_n"] & Q^n(C_\bullet) \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "x_n^C"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow Z^{n+1}(\varphi_\bullet)"] & Z^{n+1}(B_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Z^{n+1}(g_\bullet)"] & Z^{n+1}(C_\bullet) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top line is a complex which is relatively 2-exact in $Q^n(B_\bullet)$, $Q^n(C_\bullet)$, *and the bottom line is a complex which is relatively 2-exact in* $Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet)$, $Z^{n+1}(B_\bullet)$.

Proof. If we take the relative cokernels $Q^n(A_\bullet)$, $Q^n(B_\bullet)$ and $Q^n(C_\bullet)$ of the complex diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-2}"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\delta^A_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n-2}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_{n-2}\Downarrow"] & A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n-1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_{n-1}"] & A_n \arrow[r, "d^A_n"] \arrow[d, "f_n"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_n"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[d, "f_{n+1}"] \\
B_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n-2}"'] \arrow[d, "g_{n-2}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\kappa_{n-2}\Downarrow"] & B_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n-1}"'] \arrow[d, "g_{n-1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\kappa_{n-1}"] & B_n \arrow[r, "d^B_n"'] \arrow[d, "g_n"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\kappa_n"] & B_{n+1} \arrow[d, "g_{n+1}"] \\
C_{n-2} \arrow[r, "d^C_{n-2}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\delta^C_{n-1}\Downarrow"] & C_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^C_{n-1}"'] & C_n \arrow[r, "d^C_n"'] & C_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

then by (the dual of) Proposition 3.20, Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.5, we obtain a factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n-1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_{n-1}\Downarrow"] & A_n \arrow[r, "q^A_n"] \arrow[d, "f_n"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,1}\Downarrow"] & Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[r, "\ell^A_n"] \arrow[d, dashed, "Q^n(f_\bullet)" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2}"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[d, "f_{n+1}"] \\
B_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n-1}"'] \arrow[d, "g_{n-1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\kappa_{n-1}\Downarrow"] & B_n \arrow[r, "q^B_n"'] \arrow[d, "g_n"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overline{\kappa}_{n-1,1}\Downarrow"] & Q^n(B_\bullet) \arrow[r, "\ell^B_n"'] \arrow[d, dashed, "Q^n(g_\bullet)" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\overline{\kappa}_{n-1,2}"] & B_{n+1} \arrow[d, "g_{n+1}"] \\
C_{n-1} \arrow[r, "d^C_{n-1}"'] & C_n \arrow[r, "q^C_n"'] & Q^n(C_\bullet) \arrow[r, "\ell^C_n"'] & C_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and a 2-cell $Q^n(\varphi_\bullet) \in \mathbf{S}^2(Q^n(f_\bullet) \circ Q^n(g_\bullet), 0)$, which satisfy compatibility conditions in Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.5. It is also easy to see by the universality of the relative cokernels that

$$(\ell^A_n \circ \lambda_{n+1}) \cdot (\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2} \circ d^B_{n+1}) \cdot (Q^n(f_\bullet) \circ \mu^B_{n,2}) \cdot (Q^n(f_\bullet))^\sharp_I = (\mu^A_{n,2} \circ f_{n+2}) \cdot (f_{n+2})^\flat_I.$$

Now, since

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "f_n"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\varphi_n"] & B_n \arrow[r, "g_n"'] & C_n \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is relatively 2-exact in B_n and C_n, we have $\mathrm{Cok}(f_n) = [C_n, g_n, \varphi_n]$. So, from $\mathrm{Cok}(f_n) = [C_n, g_n, \varphi_n]$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(f_{n-1}) = [C_{n-1}, g_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1}]$, by Proposition 5.10 we obtain

$$\mathrm{Cok}(Q^n(f_\bullet)) = [Q^n(C_\bullet), Q^n(g_\bullet), Q^n(\varphi_\bullet)],$$

i.e. the complex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Q^n(f_\bullet)"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow Q^n(\varphi_\bullet)"] & Q^n(B_\bullet) \arrow[r, "Q^n(g_\bullet)"'] & Q^n(C_\bullet) \arrow[r, "0"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is relatively 2-exact in $Q^n(B_\bullet)$, $Q^n(C_\bullet)$. Dually, we obtain a factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "k^A_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "f_n"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,2}\Downarrow"] & Z^{n+1}(A_\bullet) \arrow[r, "z^A_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, dashed, "Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,1}"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^A_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "f_{n+1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_{n+1}"] & A_{n+2} \arrow[d, "f_{n+2}"] \\
B_n \arrow[r, "k^B_{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, "g_n"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\kappa}_{n+1,2}\Downarrow"] & Z^{n+1}(B_\bullet) \arrow[r, "z^B_{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, dashed, "Z^{n+1}(g_\bullet)" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\underline{\kappa}_{n+1,1}"] & B_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, "g_{n+1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\kappa_{n+1}"] & B_{n+2} \arrow[d, "g_{n+2}"] \\
C_n \arrow[r, "k^C_{n+1}"'] & Z^{n+1}(C_\bullet) \arrow[r, "z^C_{n+1}"'] & C_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^C_{n+1}"'] & C_{n+2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

$$(z^A_{n+1}\circ\lambda_{n+1})\cdot(\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,1}\circ d^B_{n+1})\cdot(Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)\circ\zeta^B_{n+1})\cdot Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)^\sharp_I$$
$$=(\zeta^A_{n+1}\circ f_{n+2})\cdot(f_{n+2})^\flat_I.$$

Then, it can be shown that each of the factorizations

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\xi^B_n &: Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ x^B_n\circ z^B_{n+1}\Longrightarrow Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\ell^B_n\\ (x^A_n\circ\underline{\lambda}^{-1}_{n+1,1})\cdot(\xi^A_n\circ f_{n+1})\cdot\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2} &: x^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)\circ z^B_{n+1}\Longrightarrow Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\ell^B_n \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with ζ^B_{n+1} and $(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\mu^B_{n,2})\cdot(Q^n(f_\bullet))^\sharp_I$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dd, bend right, "Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ x^B_n"'] \arrow[dr, "Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\ell^B_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\ & B_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n+1}"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Uparrow\ (Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\mu^B_{n,2})\cdot(Q^n(f_\bullet))^\sharp_I"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\ \zeta^B_{n+1}"] & B_{n+2} \\ Z^{n+1}(B_\bullet) \arrow[ur, "z^B_{n+1}"] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\ Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\xi^B_n"'] & & \end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd} Q^n(A_\bullet) \arrow[dd, bend right, "x^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)"'] \arrow[dr, "Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\ell^B_n"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\ & B_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d^B_{n+1}"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Uparrow\ (Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\mu^B_{n,2})\cdot(Q^n(f_\bullet))^\sharp_I"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\ \zeta^B_{n+1}"] & B_{n+2} \\ Z^{n+1}(B_\bullet) \arrow[ur, "z^B_{n+1}"] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\ (x^A_n\circ\underline{\lambda}^{-1}_{n+1,1})\cdot(\xi^A_n\circ f_{n+1})\cdot\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2}"'] & & \end{tikzcd}$$

So, by the universality of the relative kernel, there exists a unique 2-cell $\widetilde{\lambda}_n\in\mathbf{S}^2(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ x^B_n, x^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet))$ such that

$$(\widetilde{\lambda}_n\circ z^B_{n+1})\cdot(x^A_n\circ\underline{\lambda}^{-1}_{n+1,1})\cdot(\xi^A_n\circ f_{n+1})\cdot\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2}=Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\xi^B_n.$$

This $\widetilde{\lambda}_n$ also satisfies $(q^A_n\circ\widetilde{\lambda}_n)\cdot(\eta^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet))\cdot\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,2}=(\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,1}\circ x^B_n)\cdot(f_n\circ\eta^B_n)$ (see Remark 6.17). Similarly, we obtain a 2-cell $\widetilde{\kappa}_n\in\mathbf{S}^2(Q^n(g_\bullet)\circ x^C_n, x^B_n\circ Z^{n+1}(g_\bullet))$ such that

$$(\widetilde{\kappa}_n\circ z^C_{n+1})\cdot(x^B_n\circ\underline{\kappa}^{-1}_{n+1,1})\cdot(\xi^B_n\circ g_{n+1})\cdot\overline{\kappa}_{n-1,2}=Q^n(g_\bullet)\circ\xi^C_n.$$

In the rest, we show the following:
(6.12)
$$(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\widetilde{\kappa}_n)\cdot(\widetilde{\lambda}_n\circ Z^{n+1}(g_\bullet))\cdot(x^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(\varphi_\bullet))\cdot(x^A_n)^\sharp_I=(Q^n(\varphi_\bullet)\circ x^C_n)\cdot(x^C_n)^\flat_I.$$

We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\widetilde{\kappa}_n\circ z^C_{n+1})\cdot(\widetilde{\lambda}_n\circ Z^{n+1}(g_\bullet)\circ z^C_{n+1})\\ &\quad= (Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ Q^n(g_\bullet)\circ\xi^C_n)\cdot(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\overline{\kappa}^{-1}_{n-1,2})\cdot(\overline{\lambda}^{-1}_{n-1,2}\circ g_{n+1})\\ &\qquad\cdot((\xi^A_n)^{-1}\circ f_{n+1}\circ g_{n+1})\cdot(x^A_n\circ\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,1}\circ g_{n+1})\cdot(x^A_n\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)\circ\underline{\kappa}_{n+1,1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(Q^n(\varphi_\bullet)\circ x_n^C\circ z_{n+1}^C)\cdot(x_n^C\circ z_{n+1}^C)_I^\flat\cdot(x_n^A\circ z_{n+1}^A)_I^{\sharp-1}\cdot(x_n^A\circ z_{n+1}^A\circ\varphi_{n+1}^{-1})\\ = \quad (Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ Q^n(g_\bullet)\circ\xi_n^C)\cdot(Q^n(f_\bullet)\circ\overline{\kappa}_{n-1,2}^{-1})\cdot(\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,2}^{-1}\circ g_{n+1})\\ \cdot((\xi_n^A)^{-1}\circ f_{n+1}\circ g_{n+1}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(z_{n+1}^C)_I^{\flat-1} \quad = \quad (x_n^A\circ z_{n+1}^A)_I^{\sharp-1}\cdot(x_n^A\circ z_{n+1}^A\circ\varphi_{n+1}^{-1})\cdot(x_n^A\circ\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,1}\circ g_{n+1})\\ \cdot(x_n^A\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet)\circ\underline{\kappa}_{n+1,1})\cdot(x_n^A\circ Z^{n+1}(\varphi_\bullet)\circ z_{n+1}^C)\cdot((x_n^A)_I^\sharp\circ z_{n+1}^C).\end{aligned}$$

From these equalities and the faithfulness of z_{n+1}^C, we obtain (6.12). □

Remark 6.17. It can be also shown that $\widetilde{\lambda}_n$ in the proof of Lemma 6.16 satisfies

$$(q_n^A\circ\widetilde{\lambda}_n)\cdot(\eta_n^A\circ Z^{n+1}(f_\bullet))\cdot\underline{\lambda}_{n+1,2}=(\overline{\lambda}_{n-1,1}\circ x_n^B)\cdot(f_n\circ\eta_n^B).$$

By Lemma 6.15 and Lemma 6.16, Theorem 6.13 is reduced to the following Proposition:

Proposition 6.18. *Consider the following diagram in* $\mathbf{S}$*, where* $(A_\bullet, d_\bullet^A, \delta_\bullet^A)$ *is a complex which is relatively 2-exact in* A_2 *and* A_3*, and* $(B_\bullet, d_\bullet^B, \delta_\bullet^B)$ *is a complex which is relatively 2-exact in* B_1 *and* B_2*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1\Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "f_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\lambda_2"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_3"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\delta_2^B\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"'] & B_3 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Assume $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \longrightarrow B_\bullet$ *is a complex morphism. Then there exist* $d\in\mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(f_3),\mathrm{Cok}(f_1))$*,* $\alpha\in\mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d}_2^A\circ d,0)$ *and* $\beta\in\mathbf{S}^2(d\circ\overline{d}_1^B,0)$ *such that the sequence*

(6.13)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\underline{\delta}_2^A"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow\alpha"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\beta"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_1^B"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\overline{\delta}_2^B\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}_2^B"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-exact in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_2),\mathrm{Ker}(f_3),\mathrm{Cok}(f_1),\mathrm{Cok}(f_2)$*.*

Remark 6.19. This sequence does not necessarily become a complex. Indeed, for a relatively exact 2-category $\mathbf{S}$, the following are shown to be equivalent by an easy diagrammatic argument:

(i) Any (6.13) obtained in Proposition 6.18 becomes a complex.

(ii) For any $f \in \mathbf{S}^1(A,B)$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f) \arrow[r, "k(f)"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & A \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\varepsilon_f"] & B \arrow[r, "c(f)"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow\pi_f"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.14}$$

is a complex.

(Indeed, if (6.14) is a complex for each of f_1, f_2 and f_3, then (6.13) becomes a complex.)

Thus if $\mathbf{S}$ satisfies (ii), then the long cohomology sequence in Theorem 6.13 becomes a complex. But this assumption is a bit too strong, since it is not satisfied by SCG. This is pointed out by the referee.

of Proposition 6.18. Put $\mathrm{Ker}(d_2^A \circ f_3) = [K, k, \zeta]$. If we take the kernel of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, "0"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\delta_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "d_2^A\circ f_3"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\xi_0\Downarrow", shift right=4ex] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_3"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\circlearrowright", shift right=4ex] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.15}$$

where $\xi_0 := (\delta_2^A \circ f_3) \cdot (f_3)_I^\flat$, then by Proposition 5.5 we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "k_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & K \arrow[r, "k_2"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\alpha_2"] \arrow[d, "k"'] \arrow[l, phantom, "\xi_1\Downarrow", shift right=4ex] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\xi_2\Downarrow", shift right=4ex] & \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\delta_2^A\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.16}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
(k_2 \circ \varepsilon_{f_3}) \cdot (k_2)_I^\sharp &= (\xi_2 \circ f_3) \cdot \zeta \\
(\xi_1 \circ d_2^A \circ f_3) \cdot \xi_0 &= (k_1 \circ \zeta) \cdot (k_1)_I^\sharp \\
(k_1 \circ \xi_2) \cdot (\xi_1 \circ d_2^A) \cdot \delta_2^A &= (\alpha_2 \circ k(f_3)) \cdot k(f_3)_I^\flat .
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.6,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "k_1"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & K \arrow[r, "k_2"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow\alpha_2"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-exact in K. On the other hand, by (the dual of) Proposition 5.11,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "k_2"] \arrow[d, "k"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\xi_2\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"'] & A_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a pullback diagram, and k_2 becomes cofaithful since d_2^A is cofaithful. Thus, we have $\mathrm{Cok}(k_1) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f_3), k_2, \alpha_2]$ by Lemma 6.4. Dually, if we put $\mathrm{Cok}(f_1 \circ d_1^B) = [Q, q, \rho]$, then we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & A_1 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & A_1 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "f_1\circ d_1^B"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\eta_0"] & 0 \arrow[d, "0"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^B"] \arrow[d, "c(f_1)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\eta_1\Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^B"] \arrow[d, "q"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\eta_2\Downarrow"] & B_3 \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \\
& \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[r, "q_1"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\beta_2\Downarrow"] & B_3 \\
& & \, &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_0 &= (f_1)_I^{\sharp-1} \cdot (f_1 \circ \delta_2^{B-1}) \\
\rho &= (f_1 \circ \eta_1) \cdot (\pi_{f_1} \circ q_1) \circ (q_1)_I^{\flat} \\
\mathrm{id}_0 &= \eta_0 \cdot (f_1 \circ d_1^B \circ \eta_2) \cdot (\rho \circ q_2) \cdot (q_2)_I^{\flat} \\
\delta_2^B &= (d_1^B \circ \eta_2) \cdot (\eta_1 \circ q_2) \cdot (c(f_1) \circ \beta_2) \cdot c(f_1)_I^{\sharp},
\end{aligned}$$

and we have $\mathrm{Ker}(q_2) = [\mathrm{Cok}(f_1), q_1, \beta_2]$. (The "un-duality" in appearance is simply because of the direction of the 2-cells.) Thus, we obtain complex morphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "k_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\xi_1}{\Longrightarrow}"] & A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "d_1^A"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_1}{\Longrightarrow}"] & B_1 \arrow[r, "c(f_1)"] \arrow[d, "d_1^B"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\eta_1}{\Longrightarrow}"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
K \arrow[r, "k"] \arrow[d, "k_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\xi_2}{\Longrightarrow}"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "d_2^A"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_2}{\Longrightarrow}"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "d_2^B"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\eta_2}{\Longrightarrow}"] & Q \arrow[d, "q_2"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "k(f_3)"'] & A_3 \arrow[r, "f_3"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we put

$$\begin{aligned} c &:= k \circ f_2 \circ q \\ \alpha_K &:= (\xi_1 \circ f_2 \circ q) \cdot (\lambda_1 \circ q) \cdot \rho \\ \beta_Q &:= (k \circ f_2 \circ \eta_2^{-1}) \cdot (k \circ \lambda_2^{-1}) \cdot \zeta, \end{aligned}$$

then, it can be shown that the following diagram is a complex.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "k_1"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=3ex, "\alpha_K \Uparrow"] & K \arrow[r, "c"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"] \arrow[d, phantom, shift right=1ex, "\Downarrow \beta_Q"] & B_3 \\
& & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Cok}(k_1) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f_3), k_2, \alpha_2]$ as already shown, we have a factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[dr, "\overline{c}"] \arrow[ddrr, phantom, ""] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[r, "k_1"'] \arrow[rrr, bend right=40, "0"'] & K \arrow[ur, "k_2"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\alpha_2 \Uparrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=4ex, "\overline{\alpha}_K \Downarrow"] \arrow[rr, phantom, ""] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha_K \Downarrow"] \arrow[rr, "c"] \arrow[rrr, bend right=40, "0"'] & & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\overline{\beta}_Q \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \beta_Q"] & B_3 \\
& {} & & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (k_1 \circ \overline{\alpha}_K) \cdot \alpha_K &= (\alpha_2 \circ \overline{c}) \cdot (\overline{c})^{\flat}_I \\ (\overline{\alpha}_K \circ q_2) \cdot \beta_Q &= (k_2 \circ \overline{\beta}_Q) \cdot (k_2)^{\sharp}_I. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since $\mathrm{Ker}(q_2) = [\mathrm{Cok}(f_1), q_1, \beta_2]$, we have a factorization diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {} & & {} & \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "k_1"] \arrow[rrr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & K \arrow[rr, "c"] \arrow[rrr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\alpha_K \Uparrow"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\underline{\alpha}_K \Swarrow"] \arrow[dr, "\underline{c}"'] & & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \beta_Q"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\beta_2 \Searrow"] & B_3 \\
& & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[ur, "q_1"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \underline{\beta}_Q"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\beta}_Q \circ q_2) \cdot \beta_Q &= (\underline{c} \circ \beta_2) \cdot (\underline{c})^{\sharp}_I \\ (k_1 \circ \underline{\beta}_Q) \cdot \alpha_K &= (\underline{\alpha}_K \circ q_1) \cdot (q_1)^{\flat}_I. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exist $d \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(f_3), \mathrm{Cok}(f_1))$, $\alpha^\dagger \in \mathbf{S}^2(k_2 \circ d, \underline{c})$ and $\beta^\dagger \in \mathbf{S}^2(d \circ q_1, \overline{c})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k_1 \circ \alpha^\dagger) \cdot \underline{\alpha}_K &= (\alpha_2 \circ d) \cdot d_I^\flat \\
(\beta^\dagger \circ q_2) \cdot \overline{\beta}_Q &= (d \circ \beta_2) \cdot d_I^\sharp \\
(k_2 \circ \beta^\dagger) \cdot \overline{\alpha}_K &= (\alpha^\dagger \circ q_1) \cdot \underline{\beta}_Q
\end{aligned}$$

(note that $\mathrm{Cok}(k_1) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f_3), k_2, \alpha_2]$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(q_2) = [\mathrm{Cok}(f_1), q_1, \beta_2]$ (cf. Lemma 6.3)):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[dd, "d" description] \arrow[dr, "\overline{c}"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "0"] & & \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[r, "k_1"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & K \arrow[ur, "k_2"] \arrow[dr, "\underline{c}"'] \arrow[ul, phantom, "\Uparrow \alpha_2"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\underline{\alpha}_K \Downarrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\alpha^\dagger \Downarrow"] & & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\Uparrow \beta^\dagger"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overline{\beta}_Q \Uparrow"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow \beta_2"] & B_3 \\
 & & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[ur, "q_1"'] \arrow[urr, bend right, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying (the dual of) Proposition 5.8 to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_1^A"] \arrow[d, "k(f_1)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_1 \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_2^A"] \arrow[d, dashed, "k(f_2)" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_2 \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \\
A_1 \arrow[r, dashed, "d_1^A" description] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\xi_0 \Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, dashed, "d_2^A" description] \arrow[d, dashed, "d_2^A \circ f_3" description] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & A_3 \arrow[d, "f_3"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & B_3 \, ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

we see that there exist $k' \in \mathbf{S}^1(\mathrm{Ker}(f_2), K)$, $\xi_1' \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d}_1^A \circ k', k(f_1) \circ k_1)$, $\xi_2' \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d}_2^A, k' \circ k_2)$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2(k' \circ k, k(f_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\delta}_2^A &= (\underline{d}_1^A \circ \xi_2') \cdot (\xi_1' \circ k_2) \cdot (k(f_1) \circ \alpha_2) \cdot k(f_1)_I^\sharp \\
\underline{\lambda}_2 &= (\xi_2' \circ k(f_3)) \cdot (k' \circ \xi_2) \cdot (\xi \circ d_2^A) \\
(\underline{d}_1^A \circ \xi) \cdot \underline{\lambda}_1 &= (\xi_1' \circ k) \cdot (k(f_1) \circ \xi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, there exist $q' \in \mathbf{S}^1(Q, \mathrm{Cok}(f_2))$, $\eta'_1 \in \mathbf{S}^2(q_1 \circ q', \overline{d}{}^B_1)$, $\eta'_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(q_2 \circ c(f_3), q' \circ \overline{d}{}^B_2)$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2(q \circ q', c(f_2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta_2 \circ c(f_3)) \cdot c(f_3)^\flat_I &= (q_1 \circ \eta'_2) \cdot (\eta'_1 \circ \overline{d}{}^B_2) \cdot \overline{\delta}{}^B_2 \\ (\eta_1 \circ q') \cdot (c(f_1) \circ \eta'_1) &= (d^B_1 \circ \eta) \cdot \overline{\lambda}_1 \\ (\eta_2 \circ c(f_3)) \cdot (q \circ \eta'_2) \cdot (\eta \circ \overline{d}{}^B_2) &= \overline{\lambda}_2.\end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$\alpha_0 := (\underline{d}{}^A_2 \circ \beta^\dagger) \cdot (\xi'_2 \circ \overline{c}) \cdot (k' \circ \overline{\alpha}_K) \cdot (\xi \circ f_2 \circ q) \cdot (\varepsilon_{f_2} \circ q) \cdot q^\flat_I,$$

then it can be shown that $\alpha_0 : \underline{d}{}^A_2 \circ d \circ q_1 \Longrightarrow 0$ is compatible with β_2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}{}^A_2 \circ d"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[r, "q_1"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\alpha_0 \Uparrow"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & Q \arrow[r, "q_2"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \beta_2"] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

So by Lemma 3.19, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{S}^2(\underline{d}{}^A_2 \circ d, 0)$ such that

$$(\alpha \circ q_1) \cdot (q_1)^\flat_I = \alpha_0.$$

Dually, if we put

$$\beta_0 := (\alpha^\dagger \circ \overline{d}{}^B_1) \cdot (\underline{c} \circ \eta'^{-1}_1) \cdot (\underline{\beta}_Q \circ q') \cdot (k \circ f_2 \circ \eta) \cdot (k \circ \pi_{f_2}) \cdot k^\sharp_I,$$

then $\beta_0 : k_2 \circ d \circ \overline{d}{}^B_1 \Longrightarrow 0$ is compatible with α_2, and there exists $\beta \in \mathbf{S}^2(d \circ \overline{d}{}^B_1, 0)$ such that

$$(k_2 \circ \beta) \cdot (k_2)^\sharp_I = \beta_0.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}{}^A_1"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}{}^A_2"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \underline{\delta}{}^A_2"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow \alpha"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}{}^B_1"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \beta"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}{}^B_2"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overline{\delta}{}^B_2 \Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the rest, we show that this is 2-exact in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_2), \mathrm{Ker}(f_3), \mathrm{Cok}(f_1), \mathrm{Cok}(f_2)$. We show only the 2-exactness in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_2)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(f_3)$, since the rest can be shown dually. The 2-exactness in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_2)$ follows immediately from Lemma 6.6. So, we show the 2-exactness in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_3)$. Since we have $\mathrm{Cok}(d^A_1) =$

$[A_3, d_2^A, \delta_2^A]$ and $\mathrm{Cok}(f_1 \circ d_2^B) = [Q, q, \rho]$, there exists a factorization (ℓ, ϖ_1)

(6.17)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0", "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"'] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_1 \Downarrow"] & A_2 \arrow[r, "d_2^A"] \arrow[d, "f_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\varpi_1 \Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "\ell"] & 0 \\
A_1 \arrow[r, "f_1 \circ d_1^B"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"', "\rho \Downarrow"] & B_2 \arrow[r, "q"'] & Q \arrow[r, "0"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

$$(d_1^A \circ \varpi_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 \circ q) \cdot \rho = (\delta_2^A \circ \ell) \cdot \ell_I^\flat.$$

Applying Lemma 6.5 to diagram (6.17), we see that the following diagram becomes 2-exact in A_3:

(6.18)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "k(f_2) \circ d_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=30, "0", "\Uparrow (k(f_2) \circ \varpi_1) \cdot (\varepsilon_{f_2} \circ q) \cdot q_I^\flat"'] & A_3 \arrow[r, "\ell"'] & Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then it can be shown that $(\varpi_1 \circ q_2) \cdot (f_2 \circ \eta_2^{-1}) : d_2^A \circ \ell \circ q_2 \Longrightarrow f_2 \circ d_2^B$ is compatible with δ_2^A and $(\lambda_1 \circ d_2^B) \cdot (f_1 \circ d_2^B) \cdot (f_1)_I^\sharp$. So, comparing the following two factorizations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A_3 \arrow[dd, bend left, "\ell \circ q_2"] \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] & A_2 \arrow[ur, "d_2^A"] \arrow[dr, "f_2 \circ d_2^B"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow (\varpi_1 \circ q_2) \cdot (f_2 \circ \eta_2^{-1})"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow (\lambda_1 \circ d_2^B) \cdot (f_1 \circ \delta_2^B) \cdot (f_1)_I^\sharp"] & \\
 & & B_3
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A_3 \arrow[dd, bend left, "f_3"] \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[r, "d_1^A"] & A_2 \arrow[ur, "d_2^A"] \arrow[dr, "f_2 \circ d_2^B"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\Uparrow \delta_2^A"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow \lambda_2"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\Downarrow (\lambda_1 \circ d_2^B) \cdot (f_1 \circ \delta_2^B) \cdot (f_1)_I^\sharp"] & \\
 & & B_3,
\end{tikzcd}$$

we see there exists a unique 2-cell $\varpi_2 \in \mathbf{S}^2(\ell \circ q_2, f_3)$ such that

$$(d_2^A \circ \varpi_2) \cdot \lambda_2 = (\varpi_1 \circ q_2) \cdot (f_2 \circ \eta_2^{-1}).$$

Then it can be shown that each of the two factorizations

(1) $(\alpha^\dagger \circ q_1) \cdot \underline{\beta}_Q : k_2 \circ d \circ q_1 \Longrightarrow c$

(2) $(\xi_2 \circ \ell) \cdot (k \circ \varpi_1) : k_2 \circ k(f_3) \circ \ell \Longrightarrow k \circ f_2 \circ q = c$

is compatible with α_2 and α_K.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Uparrow \alpha_2 & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[dd, bend left, "d\circ q_1"] \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[r, "k_1"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & K \arrow[ur, "k_2"] \arrow[dr, "c"'] & \Downarrow (\alpha^\dagger\circ q_1)\cdot\underline{\beta}_Q \\
 & \Downarrow \alpha_K & Q
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Uparrow \alpha_2 & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[dd, bend left, "k(f_3)\circ\ell"] \\
A_1 \arrow[urr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[r, "k_1"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "0"'] & K \arrow[ur, "k_2"] \arrow[dr, "c"'] & \Downarrow (\xi_2\circ\ell)\cdot(k\circ\varpi_1) \\
 & \Downarrow \alpha_K & Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

So there exists a unique 2-cell $\varpi_3 \in \mathbf{S}^2(d\circ q_1, k(f_3)\circ\ell)$ such that

$$(k_2\circ\varpi_3)\cdot(\xi_2\circ\ell)\cdot(k\circ\varpi_1) = (\alpha^\dagger\circ q_1)\cdot\underline{\beta}_Q$$

(recall that $\mathrm{Cok}(k_1) = [\mathrm{Ker}(f_3), k_2, \alpha_2]$). Then we have $(\varpi_3\circ q_2)\cdot(k(f_3)\circ\varpi_2)\cdot\varepsilon_{f_3} = (d\circ\beta_2)\cdot d_I^\sharp$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=6ex, "\varpi_3\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "q_1"] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "0"] \\
\overset{\varepsilon_{f_3}}{\Leftarrow} A_3 \arrow[r, "\ell"'] \arrow[d, "f_3"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=6ex, "\varpi_2\Downarrow"] & Q \underset{\beta_2}{\Rightarrow} \arrow[d, "q_2"] \\
B_3 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.19}$$

By taking kernels of d, ℓ and id_{B_3} in (6.19), we obtain the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(d) \arrow[r, "k(d)"] \arrow[d, "\underline{k(f_3)}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\varpi}_3\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\varpi_3"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\ell) \arrow[r, "k(\ell)"'] \arrow[d, "0"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\varpi}_2\Downarrow"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "\ell"] \arrow[d, "f_3"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\varpi_2\Downarrow"] & Q \arrow[d, "q_2"] \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"'] & B_3 \arrow[r, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & B_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Ker}(0 : \mathrm{Ker}(\ell) \longrightarrow 0) = \mathrm{Ker}(d)$ by (the dual of) Proposition 5.7, so $\underline{k(f_3)}$ becomes an equivalence. On the other hand, the following is a complex morphism, where $s := k(f_2) \circ d_2^A$.

(6.20)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_2^A"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\alpha"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\underline{\lambda}_2\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\varpi_3"] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1) \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "s"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow (k(f_2)\circ\varpi_1)\cdot(\varepsilon_{f_2}\circ q)\cdot q_I^\flat"] & A_3 \arrow[r, "\ell"'] & Q
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus by taking kernels of d and ℓ in diagram (6.20), we obtain the following factorization by (the dual of) Proposition 5.8.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\exists(\underline{d}_2^A)^\dagger"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "\underline{d}_2^A"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\exists\underline{\alpha}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\exists\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(d) \arrow[r, "k(d)"] \arrow[d, "\underline{k(f_3)}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Downarrow\underline{\varpi}_3"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[d, "k(f_3)"] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\exists\underline{s}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "s"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\exists\Downarrow"] & \mathrm{Ker}(\ell) \arrow[r, "k(\ell)"'] & A_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since (6.18) is 2-exact in A_3, so $\underline{s}$ becomes fully cofaithful. Since $\underline{k(f_3)}$ is an equivalence, this means $(\underline{d}_2^A)^\dagger$ is fully cofaithful, and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(f_2) \arrow[r, "\underline{d}_2^A"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\alpha"] & \mathrm{Ker}(f_3) \arrow[r, "d"'] & \mathrm{Cok}(f_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

becomes 2-exact in $\mathrm{Ker}(f_3)$. □

References

[1] D. Bourn, E. M. Vitale, Extensions of symmetric cat-groups, *Homol. Homotopy Appl.* **4** (2002), 103-162.

[2] M. Dupont, E. M. Vitale, Proper factorization systems in 2-categories, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **179** (2003), 65-86.

[3] S. Kasangian, E. M. Vitale, Factorization systems for symmetric cat-groups, *Theory and Application of Categories* **7** (2000), 47-70.

[4] S. Mac Lane, "Categories for the working mathematician", Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[5] B. Pareigis, Non-additive ring and module theory I, General theory of monoids, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **24** (1977), 189-204.

[6] B. Pareigis, Non-additive ring and module theory IV, Brauer group of a symmetric monoidal category, *in* "Brauer groups", Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 549, pp. 112-133, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

[7] A. del Río, J. Martínez-Moreno, E. M. Vitale, Chain complexes of symmetric categorical groups, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **196** (2004), 279-312.

[8] E. M. Vitale, The Brauer and Brauer-Taylor groups of a symmetric monoidal category, *Cahier Topologie Géométrie Différentielle Categoriques* **37** (1996), 91-122.
[9] E. M. Vitale, A Picard-Brauer exact sequence of categorical groups, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **175** (2002), 383-408.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan
E-mail address: `deutsche@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`